arXiv:0806.3636v1 [math.OA] 23 Jun 2008

WHITE NOISE CALCULUS AND HAMILTONIAN OF A QUANTUM STOCHASTIC PROCESS

WILHELM VON WALDENFELS

Abstract. A white noise quantum stochastic calculus is developped using classical measure theory as mathematical tool. Wick's and Ito's theorems have been established. The simplest quantum stochastic differential equation has been solved, unicity and the conditions for unitarity have been proven. The Hamiltonian of the associated one parameter strongly continuous group has been calculated explicitely.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Admissible monomials 7
1.1. Some notations 7
1.2. Sum Integral Lemma for Measures 10
1.3. Creation and Annihilation Operators on Locally Compact Spaces 11
1.4. Multiplication of Diffusions 12
1.5. Measure Valued Finite Particle Vectors 15
1.6. Admissible Sequences 18
1.7. Wick's theorem 20
1.8. Representation of Unity 22
1.9. Duality 24
1.10. Integration of Normal Ordered Monomials 27
2. Skorokhod Integral 30
2.1. Definition 30
2.2. A Skorokhod Integral Equation 31
2.3. Functions of Class $\mathcal{C}^1$ 34
3. Quantum stochastic processes of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ 36
3.1. Definition and Fundamental Theorem 36
3.2. Ito's Theorem 37
4. A Quantum Stochastic Differential Equation 44
4.1. Formulation of the Equation 44
4.2. Existence and Unicity of the Solution 44
4.3. Invarianz of Γ_k.Continuity 45

4.4. Time Consecutive Intervals 49
4.5. Unitarity 50
4.6. Estimation of the Γ_k-norm 52
5. The Hamiltonian 55
5.1. Definition of the One Parameter Group $W(t)$ 55
5.2. Definition of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+, \hat{\partial}$ 57
5.3. Discussion of the Resolvent 63
5.4. Characterization of the Hamiltonian 67
References 71

INTRODUCTION

The object of this paper is at first to establish a white noise quantum stochastic calculus using classical measure theory as mathematical tool. Secondly we solve the simplest quantum stochastic equation written in normal ordered form [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}U_s^t &= A_1 a_t^+ U_s^t + A_0 a_t^+ U_s^t a_t + A_{-1} U_s^t a_t + B U_s^t \\ U_s^s &= 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and show the unitarity of the solution. Thirdly we derive the Hamiltonian of the associated one-parameter strongly continuous group in the explicite form

$$H = i\hat{\partial} + M_1 \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ + M_0 \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ \hat{\mathfrak{a}} + M_{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + G \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} M_1 \\ A_0 &= \frac{M_0}{i - M_0/2} \\ A_{-1} &= M_{-1} \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} \\ B &= -iG - \tfrac{i}{2} M_{-1} \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} M_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Quantum stochastic calculus can be considered as a kind of one dimensional field theory. The basic quantities are the creation operator a_t^+ and the annihilation operator a_t of a particle at $t \in \mathbb{R}$.In the context of quantum probability these operators are called quantum white noise operators. Treating them in a mathematical rigorous way is not straight forward. Accardi et al.[3] use Schwartz's distribution theory, Obata et al.[22]use the theory of Gelfand triples. We apply just classical measure theory exploiting the positivity of the white noise operators. Equation (1) has been stated and solved in an other form at first by Hudson and Parthasarathy [23]. The kernel formalism developped by Maassen and Meyer [20][25] [26]. is dual to white noise calculus. There the equation can be solved too.

Equation (3) occurs in what Accardi calls the Hamiltonian form of the quantum stochasic differential equation [2][13][14][5]. The Hamiltonian form of a quantum stochastic differential equation is a related but different object to the Hamiltonian considered here. Neither the obvious connection nor Accardi's time consecutive principle have been regarded in this paper.

Denote by $\Theta(t)$ the time shift by t, then

$$W(t) = \Theta(t)U_0^t; \qquad W(-t) = W(t)^+; \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4}$$

forms a unitary, strongly continuous one parameter semigroup on the Fock space. By Stone's theorem there exists a selfadjoint operator H, called the Hamiltonian, such that

$$W(t) = e^{-iHt}. \tag{5}$$

So the existence of H is clear, what was not clear,was an explicit analytic expression representing H. The problem was posed by Accardi [1]in 1989, solved for the case of commuting L and L^+ by Chebotarev [10] in 1997 and finally solved by Gregoratti in 2000 in his thesis [15]. I presented in a previous paper for the case A_0 a different approach using Maassen-Meyer calculus and obtained Gregoratti's result with a different proof and in a different, more intuitive representation. Here I treat the general case, use white noise calculus, calculate the resolvent and arrive at formula (2). Our result is again equivalent to that of Gregoratti.

The basic equations for the white noise operators are

$$\begin{aligned} [a_s, a_t^+] &= \delta(s-t) \\ a_s\Phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where Φ is the vacuum. Let us as first approximate the creation and annihilation operators. Assume a continuous function φ of compact support in $\mathbb{R}$ and a continuous *symmetric* function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(a^+(\varphi)f)(t_0,t_1,\cdots,t_n) =&\varphi(t_0)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)+\varphi(t_1)f(t_0,t_2,\cdots,t_n)+\\ &\cdots+\varphi(t_n)f(t_0,t_1,\cdots,t_{n-1})\\ (a(\varphi)f)(t_2,\cdots,t_n) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_1\varphi(t_1)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n).\end{aligned}$$

Shrink $\varphi=\varphi(t)$ to $\delta(t-s)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(a^+(s)f)(t_0,t_1,\cdots,t_n) =&\delta(t_0-s)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)+\\ &\cdots+\delta(t_n-s)f(t_0,\cdots,t_{n-1})\\ a(s)f(t_2,\cdots,t_n) =& \int dt_1\delta(t_1-s)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)=f(s,t_2,\cdots,t_n)\end{aligned}$$

The δ-function can interpreted in three different ways. Denote by $\varepsilon_s(dt)$ the point measure at the point $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and by $\varepsilon(dt)$ the function $s\mapsto\varepsilon_s(dt)$. Then

(1) $\delta(t-s)ds=\varepsilon_t(ds)$
(2) $\delta(t-s)dt=\varepsilon_s(dt)$
(3) $\delta(t-s)dtds=\varepsilon_s(dt)ds=\varepsilon_t(ds)dt=\lambda(dt,ds)$
with $\int\lambda(dt,ds)g(t,s)=\int dtg(t,t)$

We use mostly the first possibility and arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}(a^+(ds)f)(t_0,\cdots,t_n)&=(a^+(\varepsilon(ds)f)(t_0,\cdots,t_n)\\ &=\varepsilon_{t_0}(ds)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)+\cdots+\varepsilon_{t_n}(ds)f(t_0,\cdots,t_{n-1})\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

So the function φ is replaced by the function $t\mapsto\varepsilon_t(ds)$. For the second possibility we use a gothic $\mathfrak{a}$ in order to distinguish.

$$(\mathfrak{a}^+(s)f)(t_0,\cdots,t_n)=\varepsilon_s(dt_0)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)+\cdots+\varepsilon_s(dt_n)f(t_0,\cdots,t_{n-1})$$

This expression has to be interpreted in the notation of L.Schwartz, who identifies measures having a density with respect to Lebesgue measures with their densities. So in usual notation

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{a}^+(s)f)(dt_0,\cdots,dt_n)=&\varepsilon_s(dt_0)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)dt_1\cdots dt_n+\cdots\\ &+\varepsilon_s(dt_n)f(t_0,\cdots,t_{n-1})dt_0\cdots dt_{n-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

We shall use this notation only in the last section. The third possibility occurs in hidden form in calulations further on.

For the annihilation operator there is no problem

$$(a(s)f)(t_2,\cdots,t_n)=\int\varepsilon_s(dt_1)f(t_1,\cdots,t_n)=f(s,t_2,\cdots,t_n)\tag{9}$$

We obtain the commutation relation

$$[a(s), a^+(dt)] = \varepsilon_s(dt). \tag{10}$$

Define the infinitesimal number operator

$$\mathfrak{n}(ds) = a^+(ds)a(s), \tag{11}$$

then

$$(\mathfrak{n}(ds)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{t_i}(ds)f(t_1, \cdots, t_n).$$

We introduce the space

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{\emptyset\} + \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}^2 + \cdots \tag{12}$$

where the + -sign indicates disjoint union .We introduce in $\mathfrak{R}$ the Lebesgue measure

$$\int f(w)dw = f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt_1 \cdots dt_n f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \tag{13}$$

The *Fockspace* Γ is the space of all square integrable *symmetric* functions on $\mathfrak{R}$.

A normal ordered monomial of creation and annilation operators is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &a^+(dt_{a_1}) \cdots a^+(dt_{a_l})a^+(dt_{b_1}) \cdots a^+(dt_{b_m}) \\ &\quad \times a(dt_{b_1}) \cdots a(dt_{b_m})a(t_{c_1}) \cdots a(t_{c_n}) = a^+(t_\alpha)a^+(t_\beta)a(t_\beta)a(t_\gamma) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with

$$\alpha = \{a_1, \cdots, a_l\}, \beta = \{b_1, \cdots, b_m\}, \gamma = \{c_1, \cdots, c_n\}$$

We make for (1) the Ansatz

$$U_s^t = \sum_{l,m,n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{l!m!n!} \iiint_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} u_s^t(t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma)a^+(dt_\alpha)a^+(dt_\beta)a(t_\beta)a(t_\gamma)dt_\gamma \tag{15}$$

and assume that

$$(t, t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma) \mapsto u_s^t(t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma)$$

is symmetric in t_α and in t_β and in t_γ and is locally integrable. Then there exists a unique solution which can be explicitely given as follows. Assume that all points $t, t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma$ are different ,denote $p = l + m + n$, and order the set

$$t_\alpha \cup t_\beta \cup t_\gamma = \{s_1 < \cdots < s_p\}$$

in increasing order and define $i_j = 1, 0, -1$ if $s_j \in t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma$ resp..Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_s^t(t_\alpha, t_b, t_\gamma) &= \mathbf{1}\{t_\alpha \cup t_\beta \cup t_\gamma \subset]s,t[\} \\ &\times e^{(t-s_p)B} A_{i_p} e^{(s_p - s_{p-1})B} A_{i_{p-1}} \cdots A_{i_2} e^{(s_2-s_1)B} A_{i_1} e^{(s_1-s)B} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The function u_s^t has remarkable analytical properties, e.g. it is smooth except a finite number of jumps. We define a class of functions $\mathcal{C}^1$ which includes u_s^t and has the same essential properties.

In order to prove unitarity one has to deal with products of normal ordered monomials. These are not normal ordered any more, but they are *admissible*: if $a^+(dt)$ and $a(t)$ occur in the same monomial then $a^+(dt)$ must be left of $a(t)$. The theory of admissible monomials can be done in any locally compact space. We improve some previous studies [30] , prove Wick's theorem and establish duality.

Using that theory and the properties of $\mathcal{C}^1$ functions we are able to show a generalization of Ito's theorem. With its help we establish the unitarity of U_s^t. Denote by $\Gamma_k \subset \Gamma$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ the subspace of those functions for which

$$\langle f|(N+1)^k|f\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(n+1)^k}{n!} \int \|f(t_1, \cdots, t_n)\|^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_n < \infty.$$

Then Γ_k is invariant with respect to U_s^t.

In order to describe the Hamiltonian we split the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ into two points and introduce $\mathbb{R}_0 =]-\infty, -0] + [+0, \infty[$ with the usual topology and Lebesgue measure and define $\mathfrak{R}_0$ accordingly. We define the measures $\varepsilon_{\pm 0}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{a}_{\pm 0} f)(t_2, \cdots, t_n) = f(\pm 0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\ &(\mathfrak{a}^+_{\pm 0} f)(t_0, \cdots, t_n) = \varepsilon_{\pm 0}(dt_0) f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) + \cdots + \varepsilon_{\pm 0}(dt_n) f(t_0, \cdots, t_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We define

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{+0} + \varepsilon_{-0}) \qquad \hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}_+ + \mathfrak{a}_-) \qquad \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}^+_+ + \mathfrak{a}^+_-). \tag{18}$$

The symmetric derivative $\hat{\partial}$ is defined for functions on $\mathfrak{R}_0$ [29]. It is the second quantization of its restriction to functions on $\mathbb{R}_0$, which are continuously differentiable outside 0. Take as example the Heaviside function

$$Y(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} \quad t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad t < 0 \end{cases}$$

and not defined for $t = 0$.Then

$$\hat{\partial} Y(t) = (Y(t+0) - Y(t-0))\hat{\varepsilon}_0(dt) = \hat{\varepsilon}_0(dt)$$

This notion is very much related to the notion of a δ- function on simplices, introduced by J.Gough[13] and by Accardi ,Lu and Volovich[3] .

We introduce the preliminary Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = i\hat{\partial} + M_1\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ + M_0\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + M_{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + G. \tag{19}$$

with

$$M_1 = M_{-1}^+ \qquad\qquad M_0 = M_0^+ \qquad\qquad G = G^+. \tag{20}$$

We define

$$Z = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}\Theta(t)dt,$$

where $\Theta(t)$ is the time shift.The domain D of $\hat{H}$ is the space of all functions of the form

$$f = Z(f_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ f_1)$$

with

$$(\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(t_0, \cdots, t_n) = \varepsilon_0(dt_0)f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) + \cdots + \varepsilon_0(dt_n)f(t_0, \cdots, t_{n-1})$$

using again Schwartz's convention and with $f_0 \in \Gamma_1$, $f_1 \in \Gamma_2$. So $\hat{H}$ maps D into a space of measures on $\mathfrak{R}_0$, which might be singular at the origine ± 0.

Denote by $D_0 \subset D$ the subspace where $\hat{H}$ is non-singular, then $D_0 \subset D_H$, where D_H is the domain of H and D_0 is dense in Γ. On D_0 the operator H equals the restriction of $\hat{H}$ to D_0 and H is the closure of the restriction.

Gregoratti uses as well the operators $\mathfrak{a}_\pm$ but he does not employ the singular operators $\mathfrak{a}_\pm^+$. Therefor his expression for H is not symmetric. To prove symmetry, he has to impose boundary conditions. These turn out to be equivalent to our restriction to H_0. We base the characterization of the Hamiltonian on the resolvent, where as Gregoratti uses a direct approach.

1. ADMISSIBLE MONOMIALS

1.1. **Some notations.** Let X be a set . We denote by $\mathfrak{W}(X)$ the set of all finite sequences of elements of X or words formed by elements of X and write for short $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{W}(X)$ and we have

$$\mathfrak{X} = \emptyset + X + X^2 + \cdots$$

We use the plus sign for denoting disjoint union of sets. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ the set of finite subsets of X and by $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ the set of finite multisets of X. A multiset is on X is a mapping $m : X \to \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 3, \cdots\}$ The cardinality of m is $\sharp m = \sum_{x \in X} m(x)$.. The multiset is finite if its cardinality is finite. We may write multisets in the form of an enumaration $m = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}^\bullet$, where $x \in X$ occurs $m(x)$ times. So not all x_i must be different. If $w = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ is a word and σ is a permutation,then

$$\sigma w = (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$$

The word w defines a multiset

$$m_w = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}^\bullet$$

If w' is another word, then $m_{w'} = m_w$ iff there exists a permutation changing w into w'.

An n-chain is a totally ordered set of n elements

$$\underline{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$$

We denote by $x_{\underline{a}}$ the word

$$x_{\underline{a}} = (x_{a_1}, \cdots, x_{a_n})$$

If α is the underlying set of $\underline{a}$, we denote by

$$x_\alpha = \{x_{a_1}, \cdots, x_{a_n}\}^\bullet$$

the corresponding multiset, as it does not depend on the order of $\underline{a}$. That is the usual way we are writing multisets.

A function f on $\mathfrak{X}$ is called symmetric, if $f(w) = f(\sigma w)$ for all permutations of w. If α is an n-set, i.e. a set with n elements , then $f(x_\alpha)$ is well defined.

A function f on $\mathfrak{X}$ is called symmetric, if $f(w) = f(\sigma w)$ for all permutations of w. If α is an n-set, i.e. a set with n elements , then $f(x_\alpha)$ is well defined.

In order to avoid unnecessary complications, we shall only consider locally compact spaces countable at infinity. Assume now, that X is a locally compact space, provide X^n with the product topology and $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{W}(X)$ with that topology where the X^n are as well open and closed and where the restriction to X^n coincides with the topology of X^n. Then $\mathfrak{X}$ is locally compact as well, its compact sets are contained in a finite union of the X^n and their intersection with the X^n are compact.

If S is a locally compact space, denote by $\mathcal{K}(S)$ the space of complex valued continuous functions on S with compact support and by $\mathcal{M}(S)$ the space of complex measures on S. If μ is a complex measure on $\mathfrak{X}$, we write

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots$$

where μ_n is the restriction of μ to X^n. We denote by Ψ the measure given by

$$\Psi(f) = f(\emptyset).$$

Then μ_0 is a multiple of Ψ. If $\underline{a}$ is an n-chain, we denote

$$\mu(dx_{\underline{a}}) = \mu_n(dx_{a_1}, \cdots dx_{a_n})$$

If μ is a symmetric measure, then $\mu(dx_\alpha)$, where α is an n-set is well defined.

We define

$$\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \mu(dw)f(w) = \mu_0 f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \mu_n(dx_1, \cdots, dx_n) f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

Instead of $\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})}$ we write often $\int_\Delta$ ore simply $\int$. Similar

$$\int_{\Delta(X^n)} \mu(dx_1, \cdots, dx_n) f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{X^n} \mu(dx_1, \cdots, dx_n) f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

If $X = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{\emptyset\} + \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}^2 + \cdots$$

and if μ is the Lebesge measure

$$\int_{\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mu dx_1 \cdots dx_n f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \int_{x_1 < \cdots < x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

A *hierarchy* is a family of finite index sets

$$A = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots\},$$

such that $\#\alpha_n = n$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \mu(dw)f(w) &= \int_{\Delta, \alpha \in A} \mu(dx_\alpha) f(x_\alpha) \\ &= \mu_0 f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \mu_n(dx_{\alpha_n}) f(x_{\alpha_n}) \end{aligned}$$

or simply

$$\int_{\Delta, \alpha} \mu(dx_\alpha) f(x_\alpha)$$

or

$$\int_\alpha \mu(\alpha) f(\alpha)$$

if the context and the variable x is clear.

1.2. **Sum Integral Lemma for Measures.** We recall the sum integral lemma . We shall need a stronger result, a sum integral lemma for measures, as it was written in our papers [26] [29] For the sake of completeness we shall reformulate and prove it.

Lemma 1.2.1. *[Sum-integral lemma for measures].Let be given a measure*

$$\mu(dw_1, \cdots, dw_k)$$

on

$$\mathfrak{X}^k = \sum_{n_1,\cdots,n_k} X^{n_1} \times \cdots X^{n_k},$$

symmetric in each of the variables w_i *and assume that*

$$\sum \frac{1}{n_1! \cdots n_k!} \mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}$$

is a bounded measure on $\mathfrak{X}^k$ *where* $\mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}$ *is the restriction of* μ *to* $X^{n_1} \times \cdots \times X^{n_k}$*. Then*

$$\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \cdots \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \mu(dw_1, \cdots, dw_k) = \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \nu(dw)$$

where ν *is a measure on* $\mathfrak{X}$ *and* $\sum(1/n!)\nu_n$ *is a bounded measure,where* ν_n *is the restriction of* ν *to* X^n *and*

$$\nu_n(dx_1, \cdots, dx_n) = \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_k=[1,n]} \mu_{\#\beta_1,\cdots,\#\beta_k}(dx_{\beta_1}, \cdots, dx_{\beta_k}).$$

where $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k$ *are disjoint sets.*

Using hierarchies $A_1, \cdots, A_k, B$ *we may write*

$$\int_{\Delta,\alpha_1\in A_1} \cdots \int_{\Delta,\alpha_k\in A_k} \mu(dx_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, dx_{\alpha_k}) = \int_{\Delta,\beta\in B} \nu(dx_\beta)$$

With

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(dx_\beta) &= \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_k=\beta} \mu(dx_{\beta_1}, \cdots, dx_{\beta_k}) \\ \mu(dx_{\beta_1}, \cdots, dx_{\beta_k}) &= \mu_{\#\beta_1,\cdots,\#\beta_k}(dx_{\beta_1}, \cdots, dx_{\beta_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \cdots \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})} \mu(dw_1, \cdots, dw_k)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1,\cdots,n_k} \int_{X^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{X^{n_k}} \frac{1}{n_1! \cdots n_k!} \mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}(dx_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, dx_{\alpha_n})$$

where the α_i are the intervals

$\alpha_1 = [1, n_1], \alpha_2 = [n_1{+}1, n_1{+}n_2], \cdots, \alpha_k = [n_1{+}\cdots n_{k-1}{+}1, n_1{+}\cdots{+}n_k]$

Fix $n_1, \cdots, n_k$ and put $n = n_1 + \cdots n_k$.Then Then

$$\int_{X^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{X^{n_k}} \mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}(dx_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, dx_{\alpha_k})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_\sigma \int_{X^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{X^{n_k}} \mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}(dx_{\sigma(\alpha_1)}, \cdots, dx_{\sigma(\alpha_k)})$$

where the sum runs through all permutations of n elements. The subsets $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \beta_i$ have the property

$$\beta_1 + \cdots \beta_k = [1, n], \#\beta_i = n_i. \tag{$*$}$$

Fix $\beta_1, \cdots \beta_k$ with property $(*)$. There are exactly $n_1! \cdots n_k!$ permutations σ, such that

$$\sigma(\alpha_i) = \beta_i \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

Hence the last expression equals

$$\frac{n_1! \cdots n_k!}{n!} \sum_{\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_k} \int \cdots \int \mu_{n_1,\cdots,n_k}(dx_{\beta_1}, \cdots, dx_{\beta_k}),$$

where the β_i have the property $(*)$. □

1.3. **Creation and Annihilation Operators on Locally Compact Spaces.** We define creation and annihilation operators for symmetric functions and measures on $\mathfrak{X}$. Assume a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, a function $f \in \mathcal{K}$, a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, a symmetric measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{X})$. We define

$$(a(\nu)f)(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \int \nu(dx_0) f(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

or in another notation, where $\alpha + c = \alpha + \{c\}$ means that the point c is added to the set α and similar using $\alpha \setminus c = \alpha \setminus \{c\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(a(\nu)f)(x_\alpha) &= \int \nu(dx_c) f(x_{\alpha+c}) \\
(a^+(\varphi)f)(x_\alpha) &= \sum_{c\in\alpha} \varphi(x_c) f(x_{\alpha\setminus c}) \\
(a^+(\nu)\mu)(dx_\alpha) &= \sum_{c\in\alpha} \nu(dx_c)\mu(dx_{\alpha\setminus c}) \\
(a(\varphi)\mu)(dx_\alpha) &= \int \varphi(x_c)\mu(dx_{\alpha+c})
\end{aligned}$$

If Φ is the function

$$\Phi(\emptyset) = 1,\ \Phi(x_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \emptyset$$

then

$$a(\nu)\Phi = 0$$

Similar if Ψ is the measure defined by

$$\Psi(f) = f(\emptyset),$$

then

$$a(\varphi)\Psi = 0.$$

One finds the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \big[a(\nu), a^+(\varphi)\big] &= \int \nu(dx)\varphi(x) \\ \big[a(\varphi), a^+(\nu)\big] &= \int \nu(dx)\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

and obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int (a^+(\nu)\mu)(dw)f(w) &= \int \mu(dw)(a(\nu)f)(w) \\ \int (a(\varphi)\mu)(dw)f(w) &= \int \mu(dw)(a^+(\varphi)f)(w) \end{aligned}$$

We define the exponential measures and functions

$$\begin{aligned} e(\varphi) &= \Phi + \varphi + \varphi^{\otimes 2} + \cdots = e^{a^+(\varphi)}\Phi \\ e(\nu) &= \Psi + \nu + \nu^{\otimes 2} + \cdots = e^{a^+(\nu)}\Psi. \end{aligned}$$

So for $\alpha = \{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} e(\varphi)(x_\alpha) &= \varphi(x_{a_1})\cdots\varphi(x_{a_n}) \\ e(\nu)(dx_\alpha) &= \nu(dx_{a_1})\cdots\nu(dx_{a_n}). \end{aligned}$$

1.4. **Multiplication of Diffusions.**

Definition 1.4.1. *Let X and Y be two locally compact spaces. A continuous diffusion is a vaguely continuous mapping*

$$\kappa : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(X)$$

$$x \mapsto \kappa_x$$

Using the oldfashioned way of writing we note

$$\kappa = \kappa_x(dy) = \kappa(x, dy)$$

We consider three types of multiplication of diffusions

(1) Let X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 be four locally compact spaces,and let

$$\kappa_1 : X_1 \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y_1)$$
$$\kappa_2 : X_2 \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y_2)$$

be continuous diffusions.Then

$$\kappa : X_1 \times X_2 \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y_1 \times Y_2)$$
$$\kappa(x_1, x_2; dy_1, dy_2) = \kappa_1(x_1, dy_1)\kappa_2(x_2, dy_2)$$

or

$$\kappa_{(x_1,x_2)} = \kappa_{1,x_1} \otimes \kappa_{2,x_2}.$$

(2) Let X, Y, Z be three locally compact spaces and

$$\kappa_1 : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y)$$
$$\kappa_2 : Y \to \mathcal{M}_+(Z)$$

be continuous diffusions, then

$$\kappa : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y \times Z)$$
$$\kappa(x; dy, dz) = \kappa_1(x, dy)\kappa_2(y, dz)$$

So

$$\iint \kappa(x; dy, dz) f(x, y) = \int \kappa_1(x, dy) \int \kappa_2(y, dz) f(y, z)$$

(3) Let X, Y, Z be three locally compact spaces and

$$\kappa_1 : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y)$$
$$\kappa_2 : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(Z)$$

be continuous diffusions, then

$$\kappa : X \to \mathcal{M}_+(Y \times Z)$$
$$\kappa(x; dy, dz) = \kappa_1(x, dy)\kappa_2(x, dz)$$

So

$$\kappa_x = \kappa_{1,x} \otimes \kappa_{2,x}$$

Using the positivity of the diffusions it is easy to see, that all three types of multiplications yielt again positive continuous diffutions. We shall not introduce symbols for the multiplications, but use instead the indication by differentials.

We are mostly interested into the diffusion

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon : x \in X \quad &\mapsto \quad \varepsilon_x \in \mathcal{M}_+(X) \\ \int \varepsilon_x(dy)\varphi(y) \quad &= \quad \varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

so ε_x is the point measure in the point x. We have the three ways of defining the product of two point measures. If the 4 variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are different, then we may define at first the tensor product

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_2)\varepsilon_{x_3}(dx_4) &= \varepsilon_{x_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{x_3}(dx_2, dx_4) \\ \iint \varepsilon_{x_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{x_3}(dx_2, dx_4) f(x_2, x_4) &= f(x_1, x_3). \end{aligned}$$

The second way is

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_2)\varepsilon_{x_2}(dx_3) = E_{x_1}(dx_2, dx_3) &= \varepsilon_{x_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_2, dx_3) \\ \iint E_{x_1}(dx_2, dx_3) f(x_2, x_3) &= f(x_1, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

The third possibility is

$$\varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_2)\varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_3) = \varepsilon_{x_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{x_1}(dx_2, dx_3).$$

We omit the variable x and write only the indices and denote

$$\varepsilon_{x_b}(dx_c) = \varepsilon(b, c) \text{ and } E_{x_1}(dx_2, dx_3) = E(1; 2, 3).$$

We want to define the product of the set

$$\{\varepsilon(b_i, c_i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$

Consider the set

$$S = \{(b_1, c_1), \cdots, (b_n, c_n)\},$$

where all the b_i and all the c_i are different and $b_i \neq c_i$. We introduce in S the structure of an oriented graph by defining the relation of right neighbor

$$(b, c) \triangleright (b', c') \iff c = b'.$$

An element (b, c) has atmost one right neighbor, as $(b_i, c_i) \triangleright (b_j, c_j)$ and $(b_i, c_i) \triangleright (b_k, c_k)$ implies $b_j = b_k$ and $j = k$. So the components of the graph S are either chains or circuits.

We have to avoid the expression $\varepsilon_x(dx)$. This notion makes no sense and if one wants to give it a sense, one runs into problems. If X is discrete, then $\varepsilon_x(dy) = \delta_{x,y}$ and $\varepsilon_x(dx) = \delta_{x,x} = 1$. If $X = \mathbb{R}$,then $\varepsilon_x(dy) = \delta(x - y)dy$ and if one wants to approximate Dirac's delta funtion one obtains $\varepsilon_x(dx) = \infty$.

Consider a circuit

$$(1, 2), (2, 3), \cdots, (k - 2, k - 1), (k - 1, 1).$$

It corresponds to a product

$$\varepsilon(1, 2)\varepsilon(2, 3) \cdots \varepsilon(k - 2, k - 1)\varepsilon(k - 1, 1).$$

Integrating over $x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}$ one obtains $\varepsilon(1,1)$, which cannot be defined. So in order that $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon(b_i, c_i)$ can be defined, it is necessary, that the graph S contains no circuits.

In the contrary,if $(1,2), (2,3), \cdots, (k-2, k-1), (k-1, k)$ is a chain, then by extending the second way of multiplication we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(1,2)\varepsilon(2,3)\cdots\varepsilon(k-2,k-1)\varepsilon(k-1,k)& \\ &= E(1;2,3,\cdots,k) = \varepsilon_{x_1}^{\otimes(k-1)}(dx_2,\cdots,dx_k)\end{aligned}$$

$$\int\cdots\int_{2,3,\cdots,k} E(1;2,3,\cdots,k) f(2,3,\cdots,k) = f(x_1,\cdots,x_1)$$

above Denote $S_- = \{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$ and $S_+ = \{c_1, \cdots, c_n\}$. If S contains no circuits, then any $p \in S_- \setminus S_+$ is the starting point of a (maximal) chain $(p, c_{p,1}), (c_{p,1}, c_{p,2}), \cdots, (c_{p,k-1}, c_{p,k})$. Denote $\pi_p = \{c_{p,1}, \cdots, c_{p,k}\}$. We have

$$\varepsilon(p, c_{p,1})\varepsilon(c_{p,1}, c_{p,2})\cdots\varepsilon(c_{p,k-1}, c_{p,k}) = E(p, \pi_p)$$

where explicitely

$$E(p, \pi_p) = \varepsilon_{x_p}^{\otimes \# \pi_p}(dx_{\pi_p}).$$

Finally we define

Definition 1.4.2. *If S contains no circuits, then*

$$E_S = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \varepsilon(b_i, c_i) = \prod_{p \in S_- \setminus S_+} E(p, \pi_p).$$

1.5. **Measure Valued Finite Particle Vectors.** We generalize the creation operator a^+ to the diffusion $\varepsilon : x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$ and define

$$(a^+(\varepsilon(dy))f)(x_\alpha) = \sum_{c \in \alpha} \varepsilon_{x_c}(dy) f(x_{\alpha \setminus c}).$$

We write for short,if b is an index

$$a^+(\varepsilon(dx_b)) = a^+(dx_b) = a_b^+.$$

Similar

$$a(\varepsilon_{x_b}) = a_b.$$

If $\alpha = \{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$ is a set, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha^+ &= a_{b_1}^+ \cdots a_{b_n}^+ \\ a_\alpha &= a_{b_1} \cdots a_{b_n}\end{aligned}$$

We define the continuous diffusion

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\alpha &: \mathfrak{X} \to X^\alpha \\ \Phi_\alpha &= a_\alpha^+ \Phi\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_\alpha(x_\upsilon) = \varepsilon(\upsilon, \alpha)$$

for $\upsilon \cap \alpha = \emptyset$ and

$$\varepsilon(\upsilon, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{h:\alpha \rightarrowtriangle \upsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon(h(b_i), b_i) & \text{if } \#\alpha = \#\upsilon \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here the sign $\rightarrowtriangle$ signifies a bijective mapping. So the sum runs over all bijections from α to υ. We call Φ_α is a *measure valued finite particle vector.*

Assume a set $\sigma = \{s_1, \cdots, s_m\}$ and a set $S = \{(b_i, c_i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\}$, where all the elements b_i and c_i are different. Denote as above $S_- = \{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$ and $S_+ = \{c_1, \cdots, c_n\}$ and assume that $\sigma \cap S_+ = \emptyset$. We extend the relation $\triangleright$ of right neighbor from S to the pair (σ, S) by defining

$$s \triangleright (b, c) \Longleftrightarrow s = b.$$

If the graph (σ, S) is without circuits and $(\sigma \cup S_+ \cup S_-) \cap \upsilon = \emptyset$, then for any $f : \upsilon \rightarrowtriangle \sigma$, the graph

$$S \cup \{(c, f(c)), c \in \upsilon\}$$

is without circuits, so we can define without problems $E_S\Phi_\sigma = \Phi_\sigma E_S$.

The the set of components of the graph (σ, S) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \Gamma(\sigma, S) = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \\ \Gamma_1 &= \{\{s, (s, c_{s,1}), (c_{s,1}, c_{s,2}), \cdots, (c_{s,k_s-1}, c_{s,k_s})\}; s \in \sigma\} \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{\{(t, c_{t,1}), (c_{t,1}, c_{t,2}), \cdots, (c_{t,k_t-1}, c_{t,k_t})\}; t \in S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_s &= \{s, c_{s,1}, \cdots, c_{s,k_s}\} \text{ for } s \in \sigma \\ \pi_t &= \{c_{t,1}, \cdots, c(t, k_t)\} \text{ for } t \in S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma) \\ \pi &= S_+ + \sigma \\ \varrho &= S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\pi = \sum_{s \in \sigma} \xi_s + \sum_{t \in \varrho} \pi_t$$

So $\Phi_\sigma E_S$ is a continuous diffusion

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\sigma E_S &: \mathfrak{X} \times X^\varrho \to \mathcal{M}_+(X^\pi) \\ \Phi_\sigma E_S(\upsilon + \varrho) &= \sum_{f:\upsilon \rightarrowtriangle \sigma} \prod_{c \in \upsilon} E(c, \xi_{f(c)}) \prod_{t \in \varrho} E(t, \pi_t) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5.1. *We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n,\pi,\varrho}$ the set sums of elements of the form $\Phi_\sigma E_S$, such that $\sigma \cap S_+ = \emptyset$ and the graph (σ, S) is without circuits and that*

$$\varrho = S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma), \ \ \pi = S_+ + \sigma, \ \ n = \#\sigma.$$

We extend the definitions of subsection1.3 to Φ_σ and obtain for $b \neq c; \ \ b, c \notin \sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} a_b^+ a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma &= a_c^+ a_b^+ \Phi_\sigma \\ a_b a_c \Phi_\sigma &= a_c a_b \Phi_\sigma \\ a_b a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma &= \varepsilon(b,c)\Phi_\sigma + a_c^+ a_b \Phi_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We obtain for $c \notin \sigma$ using $a_c \Phi = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_c \Phi_\sigma &= \sum_{b \in \sigma} \varepsilon(c,b) \Phi_{\sigma \setminus b} \\ a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma &= \Phi_{\sigma + c}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.5.1. *Assume*

$$f = \Phi_\sigma E_S \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\pi,\varrho}.$$

Then for $c \notin \pi$ we have

$$(a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma) E_S \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1, \pi + c, \varrho \setminus c}$$

and we define

$$a_c^+ f = (a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma) E_S$$

If $c \notin \pi + \varrho$, then

$$(a_c \Phi_\sigma) E_S \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1, \pi, \varrho + c}$$

and we define

$$a_c f = (a_c \Phi_\sigma) E_S$$

Proof. The graph of $\Phi_\sigma E_S$ is (σ, S).Its set of components is $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ as above. Assume $c \notin \pi$ and consider $(a_c^+ \Phi_\sigma) E_S$. The corresponding graph is $(S', \sigma') = (\sigma + c, S)$. Denote by $\Gamma' = \Gamma'_1 + \Gamma'_2$ the corresponding set of components of (S', σ'). There are two cases:

a) $c \notin S_-$, then $\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1 + \{c\}, \Gamma'_2 = \Gamma_2$ and $\pi' = \pi + c, \varrho' = \varrho$.

b) $c = t \in S_-$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1 + \{t, (t, c_{t,1}), (c_{t,1}, c_{t,2}), \cdots, (c_{t,k_t - 1}, c_{t,k_t})\} \\ &\Gamma'_2 = \Gamma_2 \setminus \{(t, c_{t,1}), (c_{t,1}, c_{t,2}), \cdots, (c_{t,k_t - 1}, c_{t,k_t})\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\pi' = \pi + c$ and $\varrho' = S'_- \setminus (\sigma' + S'_+) = \varrho \setminus \{c\}$.

In both cases the graph $(\sigma+c,S)$ contains no circuits and $(a_c^+\Phi_\sigma)E_S$ is defined and we set

$$a_c^+(\Phi_\sigma E_S) = (a_c^+\Phi_\sigma)E_S.$$

Assume $c \notin \pi + \varrho$ and consider $(a_c\Phi_\sigma)E_S$. It consists of a sum of terms with a graph of the form $(S'',\sigma'') = (\sigma \setminus b, S + (c,b))$. Denote the corresponding sets of components by Γ_1'', Γ_2''. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_1'' = \Gamma_1 \setminus \{b, (b_{c_1}, b_{c_2}), \cdots\cdots (b_{c_{k-1}}, b_{c_k})\}\\
&\Gamma_2'' = \Gamma_2 + \{(c,b), (b_{c_1}, b_{c_2}), \cdots\cdots (b_{c_{k-1}}, b_{c_k})\}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\pi'' = \pi, \varrho'' = \varrho + \{c\}$. The graph (σ'', S'') has no circuits. □

1.6. **Admissible Sequences.**

Definition 1.6.1. *A sequence*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

with $c_1, \cdots, c_n$ *indices and* $\vartheta_i = \pm 1$ *and*

$$a_c^\vartheta = \begin{cases} a_c^+ & \textit{for} \quad \vartheta = +1 \\ a_c & \textit{for} \quad \vartheta = -1 \end{cases}$$

is called admissible *if*

$$i > j \implies \{c_i \neq c_j \ \textit{or} \ \{c_i = c_j \ \textit{and} \ \vartheta_i = 1, \vartheta_j = -1\}\}.$$

So W is admissible, if it contains only pairs (not necessarily being neighbors) of the form $(a_c^\vartheta, a_{c'}^{\vartheta'})$ with $c \neq c'$ or (a_c^+, a_c) and no pairs of the form $(a_c, a_c), (a_c^+, a_c^+)$ or (a_c, a_c^+).

Definition 1.6.2. *If* W *is an admissible sequence,define*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(W) &= \{c_1, \cdots, c_n\}\\
\omega_+(W) &= \{c_i, 1 \leq i \leq n : \vartheta_i = +1\}\\
\omega_-(W) &= \{c_i, 1 \leq i \leq n : \vartheta_i = -1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.6.1. *If* W *is admissible and* $W_1 \subset W$, *then* W_1 *is admissible. If* W *is admissible,then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_c^+, W) \ \textit{is admissible} &\iff c \notin \omega_+(W)\\
(a_c, W) \ \textit{is admissible} &\iff c \notin \omega(W)\\
(W, a_c^+) \ \textit{is admissible} &\iff c \notin \omega(W)\\
(W, a_c) \ \textit{is admissible} &\iff c \notin \omega_-(W).
\end{aligned}$$

If $W = (W_2, W_1)$ *is admissible,then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (W_2, a_c^+, W_1) \text{ is admissible} & \iff & c \notin \omega(W_2) \cup \omega_+(W_1) \\ (W_2, a_c, W_1) \text{ is admissible} & \iff & c \notin \omega_-(W_2) \cup \omega(W_1). \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.6.1. *Assume*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

to be an addmissible sequence. Assume disjoint index sets π *and* ϱ *and*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega_+(W) \cap \pi & = & \emptyset \\ \omega_-(W) \cap (\pi + \varrho) & = & \emptyset. \end{array}$$

Define for $k = 1, \cdots, n$

$$W_k = (a_{c_k}^{\vartheta_k}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

Set $\pi_0 = \pi$, $\varrho_0 = \varrho$ *and*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi_k & = & \pi + \omega_+(W_k) \\ \varrho_k & = & \varrho \setminus (\omega_+(W_k) \setminus \omega_-(W_k)) + \omega_-(W_k) \setminus \omega_+(W_k), \end{array}$$

where for sets α, β

$$\alpha \setminus \beta = \alpha \setminus (\alpha \cap \beta).$$

Then

$$a_{c_k}^{\vartheta_k} : \mathcal{G}_{\pi_{k-1}, \varrho_{k-1}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\pi_k, \varrho_k}$$

and the iterated application

$$M = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1} : \mathcal{G}_{\pi, \varrho} \to \mathcal{G}_{\pi', \varrho'}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi' & = & \pi + \omega_+(W) \\ \varrho' & = & \varrho \setminus (\omega_+(W) \setminus \omega_-(W)) + \omega_-(W) \setminus \omega_+(W). \end{array}$$

Definition 1.6.3. *If*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

is an admissible sequence we call

$$M = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}$$

an admissible monomial.

Proof. We prove by induction. The case $k = 1$ is trivial. Put $\omega_k = \omega(W_k)$ etc..Assume

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi_{k-1} & = & \pi + \omega_{+,k-1} \\ \varrho_{k-1} & = & \varrho \setminus (\omega_{+,k-1} \setminus \omega_{-,k-1}) + \omega_{-,k-1} \setminus \omega_{+,k-1} \end{array}$$

Assume $\vartheta_k = +1$. In order that $a^+_{c_k}$ is defined, $c_k \notin \pi_{k-1}$. But $c_k \notin \pi$ by assumption and $c_k \notin \omega_{+,k-1}$, as W_k is admissible. So

$$a^+_{c_k} : \mathcal{K}_{\pi_{k-1},\varrho_{k-1}} \to \mathcal{K}_{\pi_{k-1}+c_k,\varrho_{k-1}\setminus c_k}$$

Now $\pi_{k-1} + c_k = \pi + \omega_{+,k} = \pi_k$ and it can be seen easily, that $\varrho_{k-1} \setminus c_k = \varrho_k$.

Assume now,that $\vartheta_k = -1$.In order that a_{c_k} is defined,

$$c_k \notin \pi_{k-1} + \varrho_{k-1} \subset \pi + \varrho + \omega_{k-1}.$$

But $c_k \notin \pi + \varrho$ by assumption and $c_k \notin \omega_{k-1}$, as W_k is admissible.

$$a_{c_k} : \mathcal{K}_{\pi_{k-1},\varrho_{k-1}} \to \mathcal{K}_{\pi_{k-1},\varrho_{k-1}+c_k}$$

But $\omega_{+,k} = \omega_{+,k-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_k &= \pi + \omega_{k-1} = \pi_{k-1} \\
\varrho_k &= \varrho \setminus (\omega_{+,k} \setminus \omega_{-,k}) + (\omega_{-,k} \setminus \omega_{+,k}) \\
&= \varrho \setminus (\omega_{+,k-1} \setminus \omega_{-,k-1}) + (\omega_{-,k} \setminus \omega_{+,k}) + c_k \\
&= \varrho_{k-1} + c_k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.6.2. *Assume* $W = (W_2, W_1)$ *to be admissible ,denote by* M_2 *and* M_1 *the correpoding monomials and let* $c \neq c'$ *be indices. If* $(W_2, a^{\vartheta}_c, a^{\vartheta'}_{c'}, W_1)$ *is admissible, so is* $(W_2, a^{\vartheta'}_{c'}, a^{\vartheta}_c, W_1)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 a^+_c a^+_{c'} M_1 &= M_2 a^+_{c'} a^+_c M_1 \\
M_2 a_c a_{c'} M_1 &= M_2 a^+_{c'} a^+_c M_1 \\
M_2 a_c a^+_{c'} M_1 &= M_2 a^+_{c'} a_c M_1 + \varepsilon_{x_c}(dx_{c'}) M_2 M_1.
\end{aligned}$$

1.7. **Wick's theorem.** Assume two finite index sets σ, τ and a finite set of pairs $S = \{(b_i, c_i) : i \in I\}$, such that all b_i and all c_i are different and $b_i \neq c_i$.We extend the relation of right neighborhood to the triple (σ, S, τ) by putting for $(b, c) \in S, t \in \tau$

$$(b, c) \triangleright t \Longleftrightarrow c = t$$

Consider a triple $(\sigma, S, \tau), \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset$ and two finite sets υ, β such that the three sets $\sigma \cup S_+ \cup S_- \cup \tau$ and υ and β are pairwise disjoint. As

$$(a^+_\sigma a_\tau \Phi_\upsilon)(\beta) = \sum_{\upsilon_1 + \upsilon_2 = \upsilon} \varepsilon(\tau, \upsilon_1)\varepsilon(\beta, \sigma + \upsilon_2)$$

we find that the product $(a^+_\sigma a_\tau \Phi_\upsilon)(\beta) E_S$ is defined if the graph (σ, S, τ) is without circuits and we define the operator

$$a^+_\sigma a_\tau E_S = a^+_\sigma E_S a_\tau = E_S a^+_\sigma a_\tau$$

that way.

Consider an admissible sequence $W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$ and the associated sets ω_+, ω_-. We define the set $\mathfrak{P}(W)$ of all decompositions of $[1, n]$ .i.e.all sets of subsets, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p} &= \{\mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-, \{q_i, r_i\}_{i \in I}\} \\ [1, n] &= \mathfrak{p}_+ + \mathfrak{p}_- + \sum_{i \in I} \{q_i, r_i\} \\ \mathfrak{p}_+ &\subset \ \omega_+, \ \mathfrak{p}_- \subset \omega_-, \ q_i \in \omega_-, \ r_i \in \omega_+, \ q_i > r_i \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.7.1. *Assume W to be admissible and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(W)$. Then the graph (σ, S, τ) with*

$$\sigma = \{c_s : s \in \mathfrak{p}_+\}, \qquad S = \{(c_{q_i}, c_{r_i}) : i \in I\}, \qquad \tau = \{c_t : t \in \mathfrak{p}_-\}$$

is without circuits.

Proof. Let $(c_q, c_r) \triangleright (c_{q'}, c_{r'})$, then $c_r = c_{q'}$ and $r > q'$ as W is admissible. By the definition of $\mathfrak{p}$ we have $q > r$ and $q' > r'$, so $q > r > q' > r'$, and if we have a sequence $(c_{q_1}, c_{r_1}) \triangleright \cdots \triangleright (c_{q_k}, c_{r_k})$, then $q_1 > r_1 > \cdots q_k > r_k$ and as $\vartheta_1 = -1, \vartheta_k = +1$ we have $c_{q_1} \neq c_{r_k}$ as W is admissible. This proves that S is without circuits. For the other components of the graph one uses similar arguments.□

Definition 1.7.1. *For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(W)$ we define*

$$\lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_{s \in \mathfrak{p}_+} a_{c_s}^+ \prod_{i \in I} \varepsilon(c_{q_i}, c_{r_i}) \prod_{t \in \mathfrak{p}_-} a_{c_t}$$

Theorem 1.7.1 (Wick's theorem)**.** *If W is admissible and if M is the corresponding monomial, then*

$$M = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(W)} \lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. The case $n = 1$ is clear. We write for short $\mathfrak{p}_i = (q_i, r_i), \varepsilon(c(\mathfrak{p}_i)) = \varepsilon(c_{q_i}, c_{r_i})$.Assume

$$V = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

to be admissible and

$$N = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}.$$

Consider $W = (a_{c_{n+1}}, V)$ and define an application $\varphi_- : \mathfrak{P}(W) \to \mathfrak{P}(V)$ consisting in erasing $n+1$. Then $n+1$ may occur in one of the $\mathfrak{p}_i$, say in $\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}$, or in $\mathfrak{p}_-$. In the first case

$$\varphi_- \mathfrak{p} = \{\mathfrak{p}_+ + \{r_{i_0}\}, \ (\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i \in I \setminus i_0}, \ \mathfrak{p}_-\}$$

in the second case

$$\varphi_- \mathfrak{p} = \{\mathfrak{p}_+,\ (\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i\in I},\ \mathfrak{p}_- \setminus \{n+1\}\}.$$

Assume

$$\mathfrak{q} = \{\mathfrak{q}_+,\ (\mathfrak{q}_j)_{j\in J},\ \mathfrak{q}_-\} \in \mathfrak{P}(V)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_-^{-1}\mathfrak{q} &= \{\mathfrak{p} : \varphi_-\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}\} = \{\mathfrak{p}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{p}^{(l)}, l \in \mathfrak{q}_+\} \\
\mathfrak{p}^{(0)} &= \{\mathfrak{q}_+, (\mathfrak{q}_j)_{j\in J}, \mathfrak{q}_- + \{n+1\}\} \\
\mathfrak{p}^{(l)} &= \{\mathfrak{q}_+ \setminus l, (\mathfrak{q}_j)_{j\in J}, (n+1, l), \mathfrak{q}_-\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{c_{n+1}} \lfloor V \rfloor_{\mathfrak{q}} &= a_{c_{n+1}} \prod_{s\in\mathfrak{q}_+} a^+_{c_s} \prod_{j\in J} \varepsilon(c(\mathfrak{q}_j)) \prod_{t\in\mathfrak{q}_-} a_{c_t} \\
&= \prod_{s\in\mathfrak{q}_+} a^+_{c_s} \prod_{j\in J} \varepsilon(c(\mathfrak{q}_j)) \prod_{t\in\mathfrak{q}_- + \{n+1\}} a_{c_t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l\in\mathfrak{q}_+} \prod_{s\in\mathfrak{q}_+\setminus l} a^+_{c_s} \prod_{j\in J} \varepsilon(c(\mathfrak{q}_j)\varepsilon(c_{n+1}, c_l) \prod_{t\in\mathfrak{q}_-} a_{c_t} \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\varphi_-^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})} \lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$a_{c_{n+1}} N = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathfrak{P}(V)} a_{c_{n+1}} \lfloor V \rfloor = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathfrak{P}(V)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\varphi_-^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})} \lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}(W)} \lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Cosider now $W = (a^+_{c_{n+1}}, V)$ and define an application $\varphi_+ : \mathfrak{P}(W) \to \mathfrak{P}(V)$ consisting in erasing $n+1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_+\mathfrak{p} &= \{\mathfrak{p}_+ \setminus \{n+1\},\ (\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i\in I},\ \mathfrak{p}_-\} \\
\varphi_+^{-1}\mathfrak{q} &= \{\mathfrak{q}_+ + \{n+1\}, (\mathfrak{q}_j)_{j\in J}, \mathfrak{q}_- +\} \\
a^+_{c_{n+1}} \lfloor V \rfloor_{\mathfrak{q}} &= \lfloor W \rfloor_{\varphi_+^{-1}\mathfrak{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning as above one fishes the proof. □

1.8. **Representation of Unity.** We extend the functional Ψ to $\mathcal{G}_{n,\pi,\varrho}$ by putting

$$\Psi\Phi_\sigma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \sigma = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Psi\Phi_\sigma E_S = (\Psi\Phi_\sigma) E_S.$$

Definition 1.8.1. *Assume*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1})$$

to be admissible and

$$M = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}.$$

Then we define

$$\langle M \rangle = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}_0(W)} \lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Here $\mathfrak{P}_0(W)$ *is the set of partitions of* $[1, n]$ *into pairs* $\{q_i, r_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n/2}$ *such that* $q_1 > r_i, \vartheta_{q_i} = -1, \vartheta_{r_i} = +1$.

$$\lfloor W \rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_i \varepsilon(b_i, c_i)$$

If n *is odd or* $\mathfrak{P}_0(W)$ *is empty, then* $\langle M \rangle = 0$.

As a consequence of Wick'theorem 1.7.1 we obtain

Proposition 1.8.1. *We obtain*

$$(M\Phi)(\emptyset) = \Psi M \Phi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \vartheta_1 + \cdots + \vartheta_n \neq 0 \\ \langle M \rangle & \text{if } \vartheta_1 + \cdots + \vartheta_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

If M is admissible, then

$$(M\Phi_\beta)(\alpha) = \Psi a_\alpha M a_\beta^+ \Phi = \langle a_\alpha M a_\beta^+ \rangle.$$

We shall use this notation very often.

Theorem 1.8.1. *If* $M = M_2 M_1$ *is admissible,then*

$$\langle M \rangle = \int_\alpha \langle M_2 a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha M_1 \rangle.$$

Proof. Assume

$$M = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}$$
$$M_2 = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_k}^{\vartheta_k}$$
$$M_1 = a_{c_{k-1}}^{\vartheta_{k-1}} \cdots a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}$$

We prove by induction with respect to k.For $k = n$ we have

$$\Psi a_\alpha^+ a_\alpha M \Phi = \begin{cases} \langle M \rangle & \text{for } \alpha = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Integration yields the result. Put $M_2' = a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n} \cdots a_{c_{k+1}}^{\vartheta_{k+1}}$. Assume $\vartheta_k = -1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\alpha \langle M_2 a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha M_1 \rangle = \int_\alpha \langle M_2' a_{c_k} a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha M_1 \rangle \\
&= \int_\alpha \sum_{b \in \alpha} \langle M_2' a_{\alpha \setminus b}^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha M_1 \rangle \varepsilon(c_k, b) = \int_\alpha \int_b \langle M_2' a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_{\alpha+b} M_1 \rangle \varepsilon(c_k, b) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad = \int_\alpha \langle M_2' a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha a_{c_k} M_1 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way one proves

$$\int_\alpha \langle M_2' a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha a_{c_k}^+ M_1 \rangle = \int_\alpha \langle M_2' a_{c_k}^+ a_\alpha^+ \rangle \langle a_\alpha M_1 \rangle$$

□

1.9. **Duality.** We repete the definitions of subsection 1.5 Assume $\Phi_\sigma E_S \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\pi,\varrho}$, then $n = \#\sigma, \pi = \sigma + S_+, \varrho = S_- \setminus (\sigma + S_+)$ and the set of components of the graph (σ, S) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \\
\Gamma_1 &= \{\{s, (s, c_{s,1}), (c_{s,1}, c_{s,2}), \cdots, (c_{s,k_s-1}, c_{s,k_s})\}; s \in \sigma\} \\
\Gamma_2 &= \{\{(t, c_{t,1}), (c_{t,1}, c_{t,2}), \cdots, (c_{t,k_t-1}, c_{t,k_t})\}; t \in S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_s &= \{s, c_{s,1}, \cdots, c_{s,k_s}\} \text{ for } s \in \sigma \\
\pi_t &= \{c_{t,1}, \cdots, c_{t,k_t}\} \text{ for } t \in S_- \setminus (S_+ + \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\pi = \sum_{s \in \sigma} \xi_s + \sum_{t \in \varrho} \pi_t$$

So $\Phi_\sigma E_S$ is a continuous diffusion

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\sigma E_S &: \mathfrak{X} \times X^\varrho \to \mathcal{M}_+(X^\pi) \\
\Phi_\sigma E_S(\alpha + \varrho) &= \sum_{f : \alpha \twoheadrightarrow \sigma} \prod_{c \in \upsilon} E(c, \xi_{f(c)}) \prod_{t \in \varrho} E(t, \pi_t)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\varrho = S_- \setminus (\sigma + S_+)$ and

$$\Phi_\sigma E_S = \Phi_\sigma \prod_{s \in \sigma} E(s, \pi_s) \prod_{r \in \pi_r} E(r, \pi_r)$$

$$(\Phi_\sigma E_S)(x_\alpha) = \sum_{f:\alpha \twoheadrightarrow \sigma} \prod_{c\in\alpha} \varepsilon(c, f(c)) E(f(c), \pi_{f(c)}) \prod_{r\in\varrho} E(r, \pi_r)$$
$$= \sum_{f:\alpha \twoheadrightarrow \sigma} \prod_{c\in\alpha} E(c, \xi_{f(c)}) \prod_{r\in\varrho} E(r, \pi_r)$$

with $\xi_b = \{b\} + \pi_b$.

We have

$$\pi = \sum_{s\in\sigma} \xi_s + \sum_{r\in\varrho} \pi_r.$$

We may define the product

$$(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\pi_1,\varrho_1} \times \mathcal{G}_{n,\varrho_2,\pi_2} \mapsto F_1F_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\pi_1+\pi_2,\varrho_1\cup\varrho_2}$$
$$F_1F_2(x_\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{f_1:\alpha \twoheadrightarrow \sigma_1 \\ f_2:\alpha \twoheadrightarrow \sigma_2}} \prod_{c\in\alpha} E(c; \xi_{f_1(c)} + \xi_{f_2(c)}) \prod_{r\in\varrho_1\cup\varrho_2} E(r, \pi_{1,r} + \pi_{2,r})$$

assuming $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$ and using $E(r, \pi_1)E(r, \pi_2) = E(r; \pi_1 + \pi_2)$ for $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$ setting$E(r; \emptyset) = 1$.

Assume a positive measure λ on X and denote $e(\lambda) = \lambda$ and

$$e(\lambda)(dx_\alpha) = \lambda^{\otimes\alpha}(dx_\alpha) = \lambda(dx_\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{\pi,\varrho} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{n,\pi,\varrho}$$

For $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$ we define the application

$$(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi_1,\varrho_1} \times \mathcal{G}_{\pi_2,\varrho_2} \mapsto \langle F_1, F_2\rangle_\lambda,$$

where$\langle F_1, F_2\rangle_\lambda$ is a continuous diffusion

$$X^{\varrho_1\cup\varrho_2} \to \mathcal{M}_+(X^{\pi_1+\pi_2})$$
$$\langle F_1, F_2\rangle_\lambda = \int (F_1F_2)(x_\alpha)\lambda(dx_\alpha)$$

Using only the essential information we write

$$\langle F_1, F_2\rangle_\lambda(\pi_1 + \pi_2, \varrho_1 \cup \varrho_2) = \int \lambda_\alpha F_1(\alpha, \pi_1, \varrho_1) F_2(\alpha, \pi_2, \varrho_2).$$

Definition 1.9.1. *We define the measure Λ on X^k given by*

$$\int \Lambda(1, \cdots, k) f(1, \cdots, k)$$
$$= \int \Lambda(dx_1, \cdots, dx_k) f(x_1, \cdots, x_k) = \int \lambda(dx) f(x, \cdots, x)$$

So we have

$$\lambda(1)\varepsilon(1,2)\cdots\varepsilon(k-1,k) = \Lambda(1,2,\cdots,k)$$

If $F = \Phi_\sigma E_S \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi,\varrho}$, then $F\lambda_\varrho$ is a continuous diffusion $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathcal{M}^{\pi+\varrho}$ given by

$$(\Phi_\sigma E_S)(x_\alpha) = \sum_{f:\alpha\twoheadrightarrow\sigma}\prod_{c\in\alpha} E(c,\xi_{f(c)})\prod_{r\in\varrho}\Lambda(\{r\}+\pi_r)$$

Assume

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n},\cdots,a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}),$$

to be an admissible sequence assume $\vartheta_1+\cdots\vartheta_n = 0$. Define a usual $\omega_\pm(W) = \{c_i : \vartheta_i = \pm 1\}$. Recall prop 1.8.1

$$\langle M\rangle = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}_0(W)} \lfloor W\rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Here $\mathfrak{P}_0(W)$ is the set of partitions of $[1,n]$ into pairs $\{q_i,r_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n/2}$ such that $q_1 > r_i, \vartheta_{q_i} = -1, \vartheta_{r_i} = +1$.

$$\lfloor W\rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_i \varepsilon(b_i,c_i)$$

Call $S(\mathfrak{p})$ the graph related to $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\Gamma(S(\mathfrak{p}))$ the set of components of the graph. To any $s \in S_-(\mathfrak{p})\setminus S_+(\mathfrak{p})$ there is a component. As

$$S_-(\mathfrak{p})\setminus S_+(\mathfrak{p}) = \omega_-(W)\setminus\omega_+(W) = \varrho$$

for all $\mathfrak{p}$ we obtain

$$\langle M\rangle\lambda(\varrho) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}_0(W)}\lfloor W\rfloor_{\mathfrak{p}}\lambda(\varrho) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathfrak{P}_0(W)}\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma(S(\mathfrak{p}))}\Lambda(\gamma).$$

Definition 1.9.2. *Assume*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n},\cdots,a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}),$$

to be an admissible sequence, then define the formal adjoint sequence by

$$W^+ = (a_{c_1}^{-\vartheta_1},\cdots,a_{c_n}^{-\vartheta_n}).$$

If M is the monomial corresponding to W, we denote by M^+ the monomial corresponding to W^+.

Using the symmetry of Λ one sees that

Proposition 1.9.1.

$$\langle M\rangle\lambda(\omega_-(W)\setminus\omega_+(W)) = \langle M^+\rangle\lambda(\omega_+(W)\setminus\omega_-(W)).$$

Theorem 1.9.1. *Let* W_1 *and* W_2 *be two addmissible sequences such that* $W_0 = (W_1^*, W_2)$ *is admissible too. Denote* $\omega_i = \omega(W_i), \omega_{\pm i} = \omega_\pm(W_i)$ *and* M_i *the corresponding monomials for* $i = 0, 1, 2$. *Then*

$$M_i : \Phi \to \mathcal{G}_{\pi_i, \varrho_i}$$

with $\pi_i = \omega_{+i}, \varrho_i = \omega_{-i} \setminus \omega_{+i}$. *We have* $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$ *and*

$$\langle M_1\Phi, M_2\Phi\rangle_\lambda \lambda(\varrho_1 \cup \varrho_2) = \langle M_1^+ M_2\rangle \lambda(\varrho_0)$$

Proof. Call $\omega_{\pm i}^+ = \omega_\pm(W_i^+) = \omega_{\mp i}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_i &= \alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i \\
\beta_i &= \omega_{+i} \cap \omega_{-i} \\
\alpha_i &= \omega_{+i} \setminus \omega_{-i} = \omega_{-i}^+ \setminus \omega_{+i}^+ \\
\gamma_i &= \omega_{-i} \setminus \omega_{+i} = \omega_{+i}^+ \setminus \omega_{-i}^+ = \varrho_i
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\langle a_\zeta M_1\rangle \lambda(\zeta + \gamma_1\rangle = \langle M_1^+ a_\zeta^+\rangle \lambda(\alpha_1)$$

we have

$$\langle M_1\Phi, M_2\Phi\rangle_\lambda \lambda(\varrho_1 \cup \varrho_2) = \int_\zeta (M_1\Phi)(\zeta)((M_2\Phi)(\zeta)\lambda(\zeta + (\varrho_1 \cup \varrho_2))$$

$$= \int_\zeta \langle M_1^+ a_\zeta^+\rangle \langle a_\zeta M_2\rangle \lambda(\alpha_1 + (\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2) \setminus \gamma_1) = \langle \Phi, M_1^+ M_2\rangle_\lambda \lambda(\varrho_0),$$

by theorem 1.8.1. As W is admissible we have

$$\alpha_1 + (\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2) \setminus \gamma_1 = \alpha_1 + \gamma_2 \setminus \gamma_1 = \gamma_0 = \varrho_0$$

□

1.10. **Integration of Normal Ordered Monomials.**

Definition 1.10.1. *An admissible sequence*

$$W = (a_{c_n}^{\vartheta_n}, \cdots, a_{c_1}^{\vartheta_1}),$$

is called normal ordered *if all the creators* a_c^+ *are at left of the annihilators* a_c. *,i.e.*

$$\vartheta_i = +1, \vartheta_j = -1 \Longrightarrow i > j.$$

Using the commutation relations it is clear, that any normal odered monomial can be brought into the form

$$a^+(dx_{s_1}) \cdots a^+(dx_{s_l}) a^+(dx_{t_1}) \cdots a^+(dx_{t_m})$$
$$a(x_{t_1}) \cdots a(x_{t_m}) a(x_{u_1}) \cdots a(x_{u_n}) = a_{\sigma+\tau}^+ a_{\tau+\upsilon}.$$

with

$$\sigma = \{s_1, \cdots, s_l\}, \qquad \tau = \{t_1, \cdots, t_m\}, \qquad \upsilon = \{u_1, \cdots, u_n\}.$$

Assume five finite , pairwise disjoint index sets $\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho$ and consider the admissible monomial $a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau} a_{\tau+\upsilon} a^+_\varrho$. For the associated graph S we have $S_+ = \sigma + \tau + \varrho,\ S_- = \pi + \tau + \upsilon$.So $S_- \setminus S_+ = \pi + \upsilon$ Following the last subsection $\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau} a_{\tau+\upsilon} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}$ is for fixed $\#\pi, \#\sigma, \#\tau, \#\upsilon, \#\varrho$ a measure on $X^{\#(\pi+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon+\varrho)}$. Letting the numbers $\#\pi, \#\sigma, \#\tau, \#\upsilon, \#\varrho$ run from 0 to ∞ we arrive at a measure $\mathfrak{m}$ on $\mathfrak{X}^5$

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) = \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau} a_{\tau+\upsilon} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}.$$

Using thm 1.9.1 we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m} = \int_\omega (a_{\sigma+\tau} a^+_\pi \Phi)(\omega)(a_{\tau+\upsilon} a^+_\varrho \Phi)(\omega) \lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}$$
$$= \int_\omega \varepsilon(\sigma + \tau + \omega, \pi) \varepsilon(\tau + \upsilon + \omega, \varrho) \lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{X}^5)$ then

$$\int \mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) \varphi(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) = \int \varphi(\sigma+\tau+\omega, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \tau+\upsilon+\omega) \lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}.$$

Assume a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{k}$ with countable base. We write the scalar product $x, y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$ often in the form x^+y introducing the dual vector x^+. We denote by $B(\mathfrak{k})$ the space of bounded linear operarors on $\mathfrak{k}$.We provide $B(\mathfrak{k})$ with the operator norm topology. If $A \in B(\mathfrak{k})$, then A^+ denotes the adjoint.

Assume a function $F : \mathfrak{X}^3 \to B(\mathfrak{k})$ locally λ-integrable, i.e. locally integrable with respect to $e(\lambda)^{\otimes 3}$, and $f, g \in \mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{k})$ (continuous in the normtopology of $\mathfrak{k}$).The integral

$$\int \mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) f^+(\pi) F(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) g(\varrho)$$
$$= \int f^+(\sigma + \tau + \omega) F(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) g(\tau + \upsilon + \omega) \lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon} = \langle f | \mathcal{B}(F) | g \rangle$$

exists and defines a sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{k})$.

Using again theorem 1.9.1 we find

$$\mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) = \int (a^+_\pi \Phi(\omega)(a^+_{\sigma+\tau} a_{\tau+\upsilon} a^+_\varrho \Phi)(\omega) \lambda_{\upsilon+\omega}.$$

Remark

$$(a_\sigma^+\Phi_\varrho)(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha\subset\omega}\varepsilon(\alpha,\sigma)\Phi_\varrho(\omega\setminus\alpha)$$

$$(a_\tau^+a_\tau\Phi_\varrho)(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha\subset\omega}\varepsilon(\alpha,\tau)\Phi_\varrho(\omega)$$

and obtain

$$\int \mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma,\tau,\upsilon,\varrho)f^+(\omega)F(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)g(\varrho) = \int f^+(\omega)((\mathcal{O}F)g)(\omega) = \langle f, \mathcal{O}(F)g\rangle$$

with

$$((\mathcal{O}(F)g)(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha\subset\omega}\sum_{\beta\subset\omega\setminus\alpha}\int_\upsilon \lambda_\upsilon F(\alpha,\beta,\upsilon)g(\omega\setminus\alpha+\upsilon).$$

So $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a mapping from $\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{X})$ into the locally λ-integrable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$.

Using the results of the last subsection ,we have

$$\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma,\tau,\upsilon,\varrho) = \mathfrak{m}(\varrho,\upsilon,\tau,\sigma,\pi)$$

and obtain

$$\langle f, \mathcal{O}(F)g\rangle = \overline{\langle g, \mathcal{O}(F^+)f\rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(F^+)f, g\rangle$$

with

$$F^+(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) = (F(\upsilon,\tau,\sigma))^+.$$

Consider

$$\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho) = \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{t_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}.$$

Assume $F, G : \mathfrak{X}^3 \to B(\mathfrak{k})$ to be λ-measurable and define

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F,G)|g\rangle\\ &\quad= \int \mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho)f^+(\pi)F(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)G(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_3)g(\varrho)\end{aligned}$$

provided the integral exists in norm.

As it might be guessed from Wick's theorem, there exists an H such that $\mathcal{B}(F,G) = \mathcal{B}(H)$. In fact we have the following theorem basically due to P.A. Meyer.

Theorem 1.10.1 (Meyer's formula)**.**

$$H(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) = \sum\int_\kappa \lambda_\kappa F(\alpha_1,\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2,\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\kappa)G(\kappa+\alpha_2+\alpha_3,\beta_2+\beta_3+\gamma_2,\gamma_3)$$

where the sum runs through all indices $\alpha_1, \cdots, \gamma_3$ *with*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3 & = & \sigma \\ \beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3 & = & \tau \\ \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & = & \upsilon \end{array} .$$

This formula was proven in [30] for C_c-functions and can be easily extended to our more general case. Our formula differs fom Meyer's formula as the letters $\sigma, \tau, \cdots$ denote index sets and not sets of real numbers. It is more general because it holds for for any positive positive measure and not only for the Lebesgue measure. We shall noe repeat the proof and we shall not use it in this paper.

We have

$$\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F,G)|g\rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}(F^+)f, \mathcal{O}(G)g\rangle.$$

So a sufficient condition for the existence of $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F,G)|g\rangle$ is that $\mathcal{O}(F^+)$ and $\mathcal{O}(G)$ are bounded operators from $\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{k})$ provided with the $L^2(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{k},\lambda)$-norm into $L^2(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{k},\lambda)$.

2. Skorokhod Integral

2.1. **Definition.** Denote $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{W}(\mathbb{R})$, so

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{\emptyset\} + \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}^2 + \cdots .$$

We provide $\mathfrak{R}$ with the Lebesgue measure dw . So for a symmetric function

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} f(w)dw = \int_{\Delta} f(t_\alpha)dt_\alpha \\ &= f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\int\cdots\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt_1\cdots dt_n f(t_1,\cdots,t_n) \\ &= f(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int\cdots\int_{t_1<\cdots<t_n} dt_1\cdots dt_n f(t_1,\cdots,t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1.1. *Assume a Banach algebra* $\mathfrak{B}$ *and a function* x

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x:\mathbb{R}\times\mathfrak{R}^k & \to & \mathfrak{B} \\ (t,w_1,\cdots,w_k) & \mapsto & x_t(w_1,\cdots,w_k) \end{array}$$

symmetric in any of the variables $w_1, \cdots, w_k$ *and locally integrable in norm with respect to the Lebesgue measure on* $\mathbb{R}\times\mathfrak{R}^k$*. Assume a Lebesgue integrable function* $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ *The* Skorokhod *integral* $\oint^j(f)x$

is given by

$$(\oint^j (f)x)(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = \sum_{c\in\alpha_j} f(t_c)\; x_{t_c}(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_{j-1}}, t_{\alpha_j\backslash c}, t_{\alpha_{j+1}}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k})$$

Remark 2.1.1. *The function*

$$(w_1, \cdots, w_k) \in \mathfrak{X}^k \mapsto (\oint^j (f)x)(w_1, \cdots, w_k) \in \mathfrak{B}$$

is symmetric in any of the variables w_i and locally integrable.

Proof. The symmetry is trivial, for local integrability it is sufficient,that all functions have values ≥ 0. Let $g(w_1, \cdots, w_k)$ be a continuous function $\geq 0$with compact support, symmetric in any of the w_i, then by the sum integral lemma

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} \cdots \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} (\oint^j (f)x)(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) g(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) dt_{\alpha_1} \cdots dt_{\alpha_k} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} \cdots \int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} f(t_c) x_{t_c}(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \\ &\quad g(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \cdots, t_{\alpha_j + c}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) dt_c dt_{\alpha_1} \cdots dt_{\alpha_k} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. **A Skorokhod Integral Equation.**

Definition 2.2.1. *Consider the subset*

$$\{(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \in \mathfrak{R}^k : \text{ all } t_i \text{ for } i \in \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k \text{ are different } \}.$$

This subset differs from the set $\mathfrak{R}^k$ by a nullset. We define on that set a mapping Ξ onto $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \{1, \cdots, k\})$,where $\mathfrak{S}$ denotes the set of finite subsets, by mapping

$$(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \mapsto \xi = \{(s_1, i_1), \cdots, (s_n, i_n)\}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} t_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + t_{\alpha_k} = \{s_1, \cdots, s_n\} \\ i_l = j \Leftrightarrow s_l \in t_{\alpha_j}. \end{gathered}$$

Definition 2.2.2. *Assume $A_1, \cdots, A_k, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and define*

$$u(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B) : \{s, t \in \mathbb{R}^2, s < t\} \times \mathfrak{R}^k \mapsto u_s^t(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B)(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \in \mathfrak{B}$$

for the case holding a.e., that all points

$$\{s,t\} \cup \{t_i : i \in \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k\}$$

are different by

$$\begin{aligned} u_s^t(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B)((t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = \mathbf{1}\{s < s_1 < \cdots < s_n < t\} \\ \exp((t - s_n)B)A_{i_n} \exp((s_n - s_{n-1})B)A_{i_{n-1}} \\ \times \cdots \times A_{i_2} \exp((s_2 - s_1)B)A_{i_1} \exp((s_1 - s)B) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Xi(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = \{(s_1, i_1), \cdots, (s_n, i_n)\}$$

with

$$s_1 < \cdots < s_n.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} : \mathfrak{R}^k &\rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathbf{e}(w_1, \cdots, w_k) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_1 = \cdots = w_k = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Write for short

$$\oint_{s,t}^j = \oint^j (\mathbf{1}_{]s,t[})$$

Theorem 2.2.1. *Assume*$A_1, \cdots, A_k, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ *and*

$$x : (t, w_1, \cdots, w_k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^k \mapsto x_t(w_1, \cdots, w_k) \in \mathfrak{B}$$

to be a symmetric function in any of the variables w_i *and locally integrable. Consider for* $t > s$ *the equation*

$$x_t = \mathbf{e} + \sum_{j=1}^k A_j \oint_{s,t}^j x + \int_s^t B x_u du.$$

Then

$$x_t = u_s^t(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B)$$

is the unique solution of that equation.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of [29]lemma 6.1. We include it for completeness. Using the mapping Ξ of definition 2.2.2 we rewrite the equation for

$$\xi = \{(s_1, i_1), \cdots, (s_n, i_n)\}; \ \ s_1 < \cdots < s_n$$

and obtain

$$x_t(\xi) = \mathbf{e}(\xi) + + \sum_{l=1}^n A_{i_l} x_{s_l}(\xi \setminus (s_l, i_l)) \mathbf{1}\{s < s_l < t\} + B \int_s^t x_u(\xi) du$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_t(\emptyset) &= 1 + B\int_s^t x_u(\emptyset)du \\ x_t(\emptyset) &= \exp((t-s)B) \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove by induction, that $x_t(\xi) = 0$ if $\{s_1, \cdots, s_n\} \not\subset]s,t[$. Assume $n = 1$ and $s_1 \notin]s,t[$,then

$$x_t(\{(s_1,i_1)\}) = B\int_s^t x_u(\{(s_1,i_1)\})du$$

hence $x_t(\{(s_1,i_1)\}) = 0$ .If $\{s_1, \cdots, s_n\} \not\subset]s,t[$, then at least one of the s_i, either s_1 or s_n are not in $]s,t[$. Assume $s_1 \notin]s,t[$,then

$$x_t(\xi) = \sum_{l=2}^{n} A_{i_l}\{s < s_l < t\}x_{s_l}(\xi \setminus (s_l,i_l)) + B\int_s^t x_u(\xi)du.$$

The first term vanishes, as $s_1 \in \{s_1, \cdots, s_n\} \not\subset]s,t[$ and therefor $x_t(\xi) = 0$. Now if $s_1 \in \{s_1, \cdots, s_n\} \subset]s,t[$, then $x_{s_l}(\xi \setminus (s_l,i_l)) = 0$ for $l < n$ and $x_u(\xi) = 0$ for $u < s_n$ and

$$x_t(\xi) = A_{i_n}x_{s_n}(\{(s_1,i_1), \cdots, (s_{n-1},i_{n-1})\}) + B\int_{s_n}^t x_u(\xi)du.$$

□

In a similar way one proves

Proposition 2.2.1. *For $s < t$ the function*

$$s \mapsto y_s = u_s^t(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B)$$

is the unique solution of the equation

$$y_s = \mathbf{e} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\oint_{s,t}^{j} y)A_{i_j} - \int_s^t y_u du B.$$

Remark 2.2.1. *Use again the representation Ξ and writing*

$$u_t^s(A_1, \cdots, A_k, B)(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = u_s^t(\xi)$$

with

$$\xi = \{(s_1,i_1), \cdots, (s_n,i_n)\}; \quad s_1 < \cdots < s_n$$

and assume $s < r < t$ and $s_{j-1} < r < s_j$, then

$$u_s^t(\xi) = u_r^t(\xi_2)u_s^r(\xi_1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \{(s_1,i_1), \cdots, (s_{j-1},i_{j-1})\} \\ \xi_2 &= \{(s_j,i_j), \cdots, (s_n,i_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. **Functions of Class $\mathcal{C}^1$.**

Definition 2.3.1. *Assume a function*

$$x : (t, t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^k \mapsto x_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \in \mathfrak{B}$$

symmetric in $t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}$. Then x is called of class $\mathcal{C}^0$ if the function is locally intergable and is continuous in the subspace, where all points $t, t_i, i \in \alpha_1 + \cdots \alpha_k$ are different. We call x of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ if it is of class $\mathcal{C}^0$ and if on the same subspace the functions

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^c x)_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) &= \frac{d}{dt} x_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k)} \\ (R^j_\pm x)_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) &= x_{t\pm 0}(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots t_{\alpha_{j-1}}, t_{\alpha_j} + \{t\}, t_{\alpha_{j+1}}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ exist and are of class $\mathcal{C}^0$. Here $d/dt = \partial^c$ is the usual derivative at the points of usual differentiability. Put

$$D^j x = R_j^+ x - R_-^j x$$

Proposition 2.3.1. *For fixed s is the function $t \mapsto u_s^t(A_i, B)$ and for fixed t is the function $s \mapsto u_s^t(A_i, B)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^c u_s^t &= B u_s^t \\ (R^j_+ u_s^{\cdot})_t &= A_j u_s^t \\ (R^j_- u_s^{\cdot})_t &= 0 \\ \partial_s^c u_s^t &= -u_s^t B \\ (R^j_+ u_{\cdot}^t)_s &= 0 \\ (R^j_- u_{\cdot}^t)_s &= u_s^t A_j \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, k$.

We recall the definition of the Schwartz test functions on the real line. They form the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of infinitely differentiable functions of compact support.

Definition 2.3.2. *Assume f to be locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, the Schwartz derivative is the functional given by*

$$(\partial f)(\varphi) = -\int f(t)\varphi'(t)dt$$

for Schwartz test functions φ. If the functional is given by

$$(\partial f)(\varphi) = \int g(t)\varphi(t)dt,$$

where g is locally integrable, we write

$$g = \partial f.$$

If f is continuous differentiable except a finite set of points $\{t_1, \cdots, t_n\}$,then its Schwartz diffential is the measure

$$\partial f = \partial^c f + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(t_i + 0) - f(t_i - 0))\varepsilon_{t_i}(dt),$$

where $\partial^c f$ is the usual derivation outside the jumping points and ε_t is the point measure in the point t.

We extend the notion of the Skorokhod integral to the weakly continuous measure valued function $\varepsilon : x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$.Hence

$$(\oint^j (\varepsilon(dt))x)(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) =$$
$$\sum_{c \in \alpha_j} \varepsilon_{t_c}(dt)\ x_{t_c}(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_{j-1}}, t_{\alpha_j \setminus c}, t_{\alpha_{j+1}}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k})$$

This expression is scalarly defined, i.e. for any function f with compact support in $\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int (\oint^j (\varepsilon(dt)x) f(t) = \oint^j (f)x$$

Proposition 2.3.2. *If x is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, then its Schwartz derivative is*

$$(\partial x_t)(dt) = (\partial^c x)_t dt + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \oint^j (\varepsilon(dt)(D^j x).$$

Proof. We calculate

$$-\int_\Delta \cdots \int_\Delta dt_{\alpha_1} \cdots dt_{\alpha_k} g(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) \int dt \varphi'(t) x_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k})$$

where g is a continuous function of compact support.It is sufficient to calculate the integral outside the null set , where all the t_i with $i \in \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k$ are different. Using the representation (def 2.2.2)

$$\Xi(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = \xi = \{(s_1, i_1), \cdots, (s_n, i_n)\}$$

with $s_1 < \cdots < s_n$,we may write

$$-\int dt \varphi'(t) x_t(t_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_k}) = -\int dt \varphi'(t) x_t(\xi)$$
$$= \int dt \varphi(t) \partial^c x_t(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi(t_j)(x_{s_j+0}(\xi) - x_{s_j-0}(\xi)).$$

The second term equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{c\in\alpha_j}\varphi(t_c)(x_{t_c+0}(t_{\alpha_1},\cdots,t_{\alpha_k})-x_{t_c-0}(t_{\alpha_1},\cdots,t_{\alpha_k}))\\
=\ &\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{c\in\alpha_j}\varphi(t_c)(D^jx)_{t_c}(t_{\alpha_1},\cdots,t_{a_j\setminus c},\cdots,t_{\alpha_k})\\
=\ &\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\oint^j(\varphi)D^jx)(t_{\alpha_1},\cdots,t_{\alpha_k})
\end{aligned}$$

From there one obtains immediately the proposition. □

3. QUANTUM STOCHASTIC PROCESSES OF CLASS $\mathcal{C}^1$

3.1. **Definition and Fundamental Theorem.** Recall definition 2.3.1 of functions of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and use instead of the index sets $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_k$ the index sets σ,τ,υ and set $\mathfrak{B}=B(\mathfrak{k})$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ is a Hilbert space. Assume $x_t(\sigma,\tau.\upsilon)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^1_\pm x)_t(t_\sigma,t_\tau,t_\upsilon)&=x_{t\pm0}(t_\sigma+\{t\},t_\tau,t_\upsilon)\\
(R^0_\pm x)_t(t_\sigma,t_\tau,t_\upsilon)&=x_{t\pm0}(t_\sigma,t_\tau+\{t\},t_\upsilon)\\
(R^{-1}_\pm x)_t(t_\sigma,t_\tau,t_\upsilon)&=x_{t\pm0}(t_\sigma,t_\tau,t_\upsilon+\{t\})\\
(D^ix)_t&=(R^i_+x)_t-(R^i_-x)_t
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of the measure

$$\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma,\tau,\upsilon,\varrho)=\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau}a_{\tau+\upsilon}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}$$

and the sesquilinear form subsection 1.10

$$\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F)|g\rangle=\int\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma,\tau,\upsilon,\varrho)f^+(\pi)F(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)g(\varrho).$$

Definition 3.1.1. *If x_t is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, we call $\mathcal{B}(x_t)$ a quantum stochastic process of class $\mathcal{C}^1$.*

Theorem 3.1.1. *If x_t is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, then the Schwartz derivative of $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t)|g\rangle$ for $f,g\in\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{k})$ is a locally integrable function*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t)|g\rangle=\langle f|\mathcal{B}(\partial^c x_t)|g\rangle+\\
&\quad\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^1x_t)|g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^0x_t)|a(t)g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(D^{-1}x_t)|a(t)g\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the proof of proposition 2.3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \partial_t(\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t)|g\rangle)\varphi(t) &= -\int \langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t)|g\rangle\varphi'(t)dt\\ &= \int \mathfrak{m} f^+(\pi)\partial_t x_t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)g(\varrho)\varphi(t)dt\\ &+ \int \mathfrak{m} f^+(\pi)\sum_{c\in\sigma} x_{t_c}(\sigma\setminus c,\tau,\upsilon)g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\ &+ \int \mathfrak{m} f^+(\pi)\sum_{c\in\tau} x_{t_c}(\sigma,\tau\setminus c,\upsilon)g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\ &+ \int \mathfrak{m} f^+(\pi)\sum_{c\in\upsilon} x_{t_c}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon\setminus c)g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c).\end{aligned}$$

Using the sum integra lemma we obtain for the last three terms

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+c+\tau}a_{\tau+\upsilon}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}f^+(\pi)(D^1x)_c g(\varrho)\varphi(c)\\ +&\int \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau+c}a_{\tau+c+\upsilon}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}f^+(\pi)(D^1x)_c g(\varrho)\varphi(c)\\ +&\int \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+c+\tau}a_{\tau+\upsilon+c}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon+c}f^+(\pi)(D^{-1}x)_c g(\varrho)\varphi(c)\end{aligned}$$

and from there the result .□

3.2. **Ito's Theorem.** Recall subsection 1.10 and consider the measure

$$\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho) = \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{t_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}.$$

Assume $F,G:\mathfrak{R}^3\to B(\mathfrak{k})$ to be λ-measurable and define

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F,G)|g\rangle\\ &\quad=\int \mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho)f^+(\pi)F(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)G(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_3)g(\varrho)\end{aligned}$$

provided the integral exists in norm.

Theorem 3.2.1. *Assume x_t, y_t to be of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and that for $f,g\in\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{k})$ the sesquilinear forms $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F_t,G_t)|g\rangle$ exist in norm and $t\in\mathbb{R}\mapsto\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F_t,G_t)|g\rangle$ is locally integrable, where*

$$F_t\in\{x_t,\partial^c x_t,R^1_\pm x_t,R^0_\pm x_t,R^{-1}_\pm x_t\}$$

$$G_t\in\{y_t,\partial^c y_t,R^1_\pm y_t,R^0_\pm y_t,R^{-1}_\pm y_t\}$$

is any of the functions. Then the Schwartz derivative of $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle$ *is a locally integrable function and yields*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle =&\langle f|\mathcal{B}(\partial^c x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, \partial^c y_t) + I_{-1,+1,t}|g\rangle\\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^1 x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^1 y_t) + I_{0,+1,t}|g\rangle\\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^0 x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^0 y_t) + I_{0,0,t}|a(t)g\rangle\\ &+ \langle f|\mathcal{B}(D^{-1} x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^{-1} y_t) + I_{-1,0,t}|a(t)g\rangle\end{aligned}$$

with

$$I_{i,j,t} = \mathcal{B}(R^i_+ x_t, R^j_+ y_t) - \mathcal{B}(R^i_- x_t, R^j_- y_t)$$

Proof. Define

$$N(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{t_{\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}\}^\bullet \text{ has no multiple point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As N is a Lebesgue nullfunction we find for a Schwartz testfunction φ

$$\begin{aligned}\int \varphi(t)\partial\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle &= -\int dt\varphi'(t)\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle\\ &= -\int \lambda_c \varphi'(c) f^+(\pi)(1 - N(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1))x_t(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)\\ &(1 - N(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2))y_t(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)g(\varrho)\mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1, \sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2, \varrho)\end{aligned}$$

We perform at first the integral over t_c and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int \lambda_c \varphi'(c) x_t(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) y_t(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)\\ &= \int dt\varphi(t)(\partial^c x_t(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) y_t(\sigma_2, t_2, \upsilon_2) + (x_t(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)\partial^c y_t(\sigma_2, t_2, \upsilon_2))\\ &\quad + \sum_{t_c}\big(x_{t_c+0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2) - x_{t_c-0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) y_{t_c-0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)\big)\end{aligned}$$

where t_c runs through all points of $t_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1} \cup t_{\sigma_2+\tau_2+\upsilon_2}$. Remark that the points of $t_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1}$and $t_{\sigma_2+\tau_2+\upsilon_2}$ resp. are all different, but both sets might have common points. The sum over t_c equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{c\in\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1} (x_{t_c+0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) - x_{t_c-0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)) y_{t_c-0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)\\ &\qquad + \sum_{c\in\sigma_2+\tau_2+\upsilon_2} (x_{t_c-0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)(y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_1) - y_{t_c-0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2))\end{aligned}$$

as e.g.

$$x_{t_c+0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) - x_{t_c-0}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) = 0$$

for $t_c \notin t_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1}$.

We discuss the integrals of the terms of the form

$$\sum_{c\in\sigma_i+\tau_i+\upsilon_i}(x_{t_c\pm 0}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1))(y_{t_c\pm 0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))$$

and assume at first, that f,g,x_t,y_t,φ are ≥ 0 and regard

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int f(\pi)\sum_{c\in\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1}(x_{t_c+0}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1))\\
&\qquad\qquad(y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\qquad\qquad\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho)\\
&=I+II+III
\end{aligned}$$

splitting up the sum into three parts

$$\sum_{c\in\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1}=\sum_{c\in\sigma_1}+\sum_{c\in\tau_1}+\sum_{c\in\upsilon_1}$$

We have using the sum integral lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
I=&\int f(\pi)\sum_{c\in\sigma_1}(R_+^1x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1\setminus c,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1))\\
&y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho)\\
=&\int f(\pi)(R_+^1x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1+c,\tau_1,\upsilon_1))\\
&y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+c+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}\\
=&\int dt\varphi(t)\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^1x_t,y_t)|g\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The integral over $N(1)$ and $N(2)$ vanishes and $y_{t+0}=y_t$ a.e. with respect to the integrating measure.

In the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
II=&\int f(\pi)(R_+^0x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1+c,\upsilon_1))\\
&y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c}a_{\tau_1+c+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}
\end{aligned}$$

The function

$$y_{t+0}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)(1-N(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)) = \begin{cases} y_t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) & \text{if } t_c \notin t_{\sigma+\tau+\upsilon} \\ (R^1 y)_t(\sigma\setminus b,\tau,\upsilon) & \text{if } t = t_b, b\in\sigma \\ (R^0 y)_t(\sigma,\tau\setminus b,\upsilon) & \text{if } t = t_b, b\in\tau \\ (R^{-1} y)_t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon\setminus b) & \text{if } t = t_b, b\in\upsilon \end{cases}$$

is defined everywhere. Recall that it vanishes,if $t_{\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}$ has multiple points. We have

$$a_c a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} = a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_c + \sum_{b\in\sigma_2} \varepsilon(c,b) a^+_{\sigma_2\setminus b+\tau_2} + \sum_{b\in\tau_2} \varepsilon(c,b) a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2\setminus b}$$

and split the expression II into three parts

$$II = II_1 + II_2 + II_3.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 = \int & f(\pi)(R^0_+ x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1+c,\upsilon_1)) \\ & y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\ & \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2+c} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \\ = \int & dt \varphi(t) \langle a(t) f | \mathcal{B}(R^0_+ x_t, y_t) | a(t) g\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using the sum integral lemma

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 = \int & f(\pi)(R^0_+ x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1+c,\upsilon_1)) \\ & \sum_{b\in\sigma_2} \varepsilon(c,b) y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\ & \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2\setminus b+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \\ = \int & f(\pi)(R^0_+ x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1+c,\upsilon_1)) \\ & y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2+c,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2+c,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\ & \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \\ = \int & dt \varphi(t) \langle a(t) f | \mathcal{B}(R^0_+ x_t, R^1_+ y_t) | g\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and again

$$\begin{aligned}
II_3 =& \int f(\pi)(R_+^0 x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)(1 - N(\sigma_1, \tau_1 + c, \upsilon_1)) \\
& \sum_{b \in \tau_2} \varepsilon(c, b) y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)(1 - N(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\
& \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2 \setminus b} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \\
=& \int f(\pi)(R_+^0 x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)(1 - N(\sigma_1, \tau_1 + c, \upsilon_1)) \\
& y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2 + c, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)(1 - N(\sigma_2, \tau_2 + c, \upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\
& \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1+c} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+c+\upsilon_2} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \\
=& \int dt \varphi(t) \langle a(t) f | \mathcal{B}(R_+^0 x_t, R_+^0 y_t) | a(t) g \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Similar

$$\begin{aligned}
III =& \int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1} x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)(1 - N(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1 + c)) \\
& y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)(1 - N(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\
& \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1+c} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2} \\
=& III_1 + III_2 + III_3
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
III_1 =& \int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1} x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)(1 - N(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1 + c)) \\
& y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)(1 - N(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)) g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\
& \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2} a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2+c} a^+_\varrho \rangle \lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2} \\
=& \int dt \varphi(t) \langle f | \mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1} x_t, y_t) | a(t) g \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
III_2 = &\int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1}x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1+c))\\
&\sum_{b\in\sigma_2}\varepsilon(c,b)y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2\setminus b+\tau_2}a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2}\\
=&\int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1}x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1+c))\\
&(R_+^1 y)_{t_c}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2+c,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2}\\
=&\int dt\varphi(t)\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1}x_t,R_+^1y_t)|g\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
III_3 = &\int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1}x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1+c))\\
&\sum_{b\in\tau_2}\varepsilon(c,b)y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2\setminus b}a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2}\\
=&\int f(\pi)(R_+^{-1}x)_{t_c}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1+c))\\
&(R_+^1 y)_{t_c}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2+c,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c)\\
&\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{\tau_2+c+\upsilon_2}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+c+\upsilon_2}\\
=&\int dt\varphi(t)\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1}x_t,R_+^0y_t)|a(t)g\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all terms

$$\begin{aligned}
I+II+III =&\int dt\varphi(t)\Big(\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^1x_t,y_t)|g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^1x_t,y_t)|a(t)g\rangle+\\
&\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^0x_t,R_+^1y_t)|a(t)g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^0x_t,R_+^0y_t)|a(t)g\rangle\\
&+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1}x_t,y_t)|g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1}x_t,R_+^1y_t)|g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R_+^{-1}x_t,y_t)|g\rangle\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

The assumptions of our theorem guarantee that all expressions exist and we may extend the formuals to vector and operator valued functions. By analogous calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(\pi)^+ \sum_{c\in\sigma_1+\tau_1+\upsilon_1} & (x_{t_c\pm 0}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)) \\ & (y_{t_c+0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2))g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\ & \mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho) \\ & =\int dt\varphi(t)K_{\pm,+}(t)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(\pi)^+ \sum_{c\in\sigma_2+\tau_2+\upsilon_2} & (x_{t_c-0}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)(1-N(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1) \\ & (y_{t_c\pm 0}(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)(1-N(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)g(\varrho)\varphi(t_c) \\ & \mathfrak{m}(\pi,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1,\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2,\varrho) \\ & =\int dt\varphi(t)K_{-,\pm}(t)\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$K_{\pm,+}=K^{(1)}_{\pm,+}+K^{(2)}_{\pm,+}$$

$$K_{-,\pm}=K^{(1)}_{-,\pm}+K^{(2)}_{-,\pm}$$

with

$$K^{(1)}_{\pm,+}=\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R^1_\pm x_t,y_t)|g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R^0_\pm x_t,y_t)|a(t)g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R^{-1}_\pm x_t,y_t)|a(t)g\rangle$$

$$K^{(1)}_{-,\pm}=\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(x_t,R^1_\pm y_t)|g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(x_t,R^0_+ y_t)|a(t)g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t,R^{-1}_+ y_t)|a(t)g\rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}K^{(2)}_{\pm,\pm}=&\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R^0_\pm x_t,R^1_\pm y_t|g\rangle+\langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(R^0_\pm x_t,R^0_\pm y_t|a(t)g\rangle \\ &+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R^{-1}_\pm x_t,R^0_\pm y_t|a(t)g\rangle+\langle f|\mathcal{B}(R^{-1}_\pm x_t,R^1_\pm y_t|g\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

From there one achieves the final result without big difficulty. $\square$

4. A QUANTUM STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

4.1. **Formulation of the Equation.** We shall investigate the quantum stochastic differential equation which reads in the Hudson-Parthasarathy calculus

$$d_t U_s^t = A_1 dB_t^+ U_s^t + A_0 d\Lambda_t U_s^t + A_{-1} dB_t U_s^t + B U_s^t dt,\ U_s^s = 1$$

where A_1, A_0, A_{-1}, B are Operators in $B(\mathfrak{k})$.

In his white noise calculus Accardi formulates it as *normal ordered* equation

$$dU_s^t/dt = A_1 a_t^+ U_s^t + A_0 a_t^+ U_s^t a_t + A_{-1} U_s^t a_t + B U_s^t$$

Our approach is very similar to Accardi's one. We understand U_s^t as a sesquilinear form over $\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{R})$ given by

$$\langle f|U_s^t|g\rangle = \int f^+(\pi) u_s^t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) g(\varrho) a_\pi a_{\sigma+\tau}^+ a_{\tau+\upsilon} a_\varrho^+ \lambda_{\pi+\varrho}$$

where u_s^t is locally integrable in all four variables. We formulate the differential equation in the weak sense

$$\begin{aligned}&(d/dt)\langle f|U_s^t|g\rangle\\ &= \langle a(t)f|A_1U_s^t|g\rangle + \langle a(t)f|A_0U_s^t|a(t)g\rangle + \langle f|A_{-1}U_s^t|a(t)g\rangle + \langle f|BU_s^t|g\rangle\end{aligned}$$

or better as integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f|U_s^t|g\rangle = \langle f|g\rangle + \int_s^t dr\langle a(r)f|A_1U_s^r|g\rangle + \int_s^t dr\langle a(r)f|A_0U_s^r|a(r)g\rangle\\ + \int_s^t dr\langle f|A_{-1}U_s^r|a(r)g\rangle + \int_s^t dr\langle f|BU_s^r|g\rangle \quad (*)\end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq s$ We shall show that this equation has a uniques solution, which can be given explicitely.

4.2. **Existence and Unicity of the Solution.**

Lemma 4.2.1. *The equation* $(*)$ *is equivalent to the Skorokhod integral equation* $(**)$

$$u_s^t = \mathbf{e} + A_1 \oint_{s,t}^{1} u_s^{\cdot} + A_0 \oint_{s,t}^{0} u_s^{\cdot} + A_{-1} \oint_{s,t}^{-1} u_s^{\cdot} + B\int_s^t dr u_s^r \qquad (**)$$

Proof. Cosider e.g.the term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^t dr \langle a(r)f | A_0 U_s^r | a(r) g\rangle \\
&= \int \mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}(t_c) f^+(\omega+\sigma+\tau+c) A_0 u_s^{t_c}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) g(\omega+\tau+\upsilon+c)\lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon+c} \\
&= \int \sum_{c\in\tau} \mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}(t_c) f^+(\omega+\sigma+\tau) A_0 u_s^{t_c}(\sigma,\tau\setminus c,\upsilon) g(\omega+\tau+\upsilon)\lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon} \\
&= \int f^+(\omega+\sigma+\tau) A_0 (\oint_{s,t}^0 u_s^{\cdot})(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) g(\omega+\tau+\upsilon)\lambda_{\omega+\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that the function $u_s^t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)$ is determined by the sesquilinear form $\langle f|U_s^t|g\rangle$ Lebesgue almost everywhere. □
Applying theorem 2.2.1 we obtain immediately

Theorem 4.2.1. *Equation* $(*)$ *has a unique solution namely*

$$U_s^t = \mathcal{B}(u_s^t(A_1, A_0, A_{-1}; B). \qquad (**)$$

4.3. **Invarianz of Γ_k.Continuity.**

Definition 4.3.1. *We define the Fock space*

$$\Gamma = L_s^2(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{k}, \lambda)$$

of all symmetric square integrable functions with respect to Lebesgue measure from $\mathfrak{R}$ *to* $\mathfrak{k}$*. If* f *is a mesurable function on* $\mathfrak{R}$ *define the operator* N *by* $(Nf)(w) = (\#w)f(w)$ *and define* Γ_k *as the space of those measurable symmetric functions from* $\mathfrak{R}$ *to* $\mathfrak{k}$ *, for which*

$$\int_{\Delta(\mathfrak{R})} \langle f(w)|(N+1)^k f(w)\rangle \, dw < \infty$$

We denote by $\|.\|_{\Gamma_k}$ *the corresponding norm. We write for short*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{k})$$

for the space of all symmetric continuous functions from $\mathfrak{R}$ *to* $\mathfrak{k}$ *with compact support. Call* $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$*,resp.* $\Gamma^{(n)}$ *the subspace, where* $f(w) = 0$ *for* $\#w > n$*.*

We extend the notions of a and a^+. We define $a(\varphi\lambda)f = a(\varphi)f$ and $a^+(\varphi)f$ for $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in \Gamma$.We have the well known relations

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\varphi) : \Gamma^{(n)} \to \Gamma^{(n-1)} \qquad & \|a(\varphi)f\|_\Gamma \le \sqrt{n}\|\varphi\|_{L^2}\|f\|_\Gamma \\
a(\varphi)^+ : \Gamma^{(n)} \to \Gamma^{(n+1)} \qquad & \|a(\varphi)^+ f\|_\Gamma \le \sqrt{n+1}\|\varphi\|_{L^2}\|f\|_\Gamma
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3.1. *Assume a Lebesgue measurable function* $\mathfrak{R} \to \mathfrak{k}$*, then*

$$\int \lambda_{\xi+\omega} \mathbf{1}\{\#\xi = k\} \; \|f(\xi+\omega)\|^2 = \left\langle f \left| \binom{N}{k} \right| f \right\rangle .$$

Proof. The LHS of the last equation equals

$$\int \lambda_\omega \sum_{\xi \in \omega} \|f(\omega\|^2 \mathbf{1}\{\#\xi = k\} = \int \lambda_\omega \binom{\#\omega}{k} \|f(\omega)\|^2 :$$

□

Proposition 4.3.1. *Assume*

$$U_s^t = \mathcal{O}(u_s^t(A_1, A_0, A_{-1}; B)).$$

Then there exist constants $C_{n,k}(t-s)$ *such that for* $f \in \mathcal{K}^{(n)}$

$$\|U_s^t f\|_{\Gamma_k} \leq C_{n,k}(t-s)\|f\|_\Gamma$$

Furthermore for $t \downarrow s$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\|U_s^t f - f\|_{\Gamma_k} \to 0.$$

Proof. Define

$$C = \max(\|A_i\|, i = 1, 0, -1, \|B\|),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_s^t(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon)\| &\leq e^{C(t-s)} C^{\#\sigma + \#\tau + \#\upsilon} \mathbf{1}\{t_{\sigma+\tau+\upsilon} \subset [s,t]\} \\ &= e^{C(t-s)} e(\chi)(\sigma + \tau + \upsilon) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\chi(r) = C\mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}(r).$$

We have using 1.10

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{O}(u_s^t) f(\omega)\| \\ &\quad \leq \sum_{\sigma \subset \omega} \sum_{\tau \subset \omega \setminus s} \int \lambda_\upsilon \exp(C(t-s))(e(\chi)(\sigma + \tau + \upsilon)\|f(\omega \setminus \sigma + \upsilon)\| \\ &\quad = \exp(C(t-s))(R_s^t \, S_s^t \, T_s^t \, \|f\|)(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

For $g \in \mathcal{K}^{(n)}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \geq 0$ we have

$$(T_s^t g)(\omega) = \int \lambda_\upsilon e(\chi)(\upsilon) g(\omega + \upsilon) = (\exp(a(\chi))g)(\omega).$$

As $T_s^t : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \to \mathcal{K}^{(n)}$, we may estimate the Γ_k-norm by the Γ-norm.We have

$$\|T_s^t g\| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{n} (1/l!)\sqrt{n(n-1)\cdots(n-l+1)}C^l(t-s)^{l/2}\|g\|_\Gamma$$

as

$$\|\chi\|_{L^2} = C\sqrt{t-s}.$$

Further more we have

$$S_s^t : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \to \Gamma^{(n)}, \quad (S_s^t g)(\omega) = \sum_{\tau\subset\omega} e(\chi)(\tau)g(\omega) = e(1+\chi)(\omega)g(\omega)$$

and

$$\|S_t^s g\|_\Gamma \leq (1+C)^n\|g\|_\Gamma.$$

Again

$$R_s^t : \Gamma^{(n)} \to \Gamma \quad (R_t^s g)(\omega) = \sum_{\sigma\subset\omega} e(\chi)(\sigma)g(\omega\setminus\sigma) = (\exp(a^+(\chi)g)(\omega)$$

and

$$\|R_s^t g\| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(1/l!)\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+l)}C^l(t-s)^{l/2}\|g\|_\Gamma = c_n(t-s)\|g\|_\Gamma$$

We calculate

$$(R_t^s g)(\omega+\xi) = \sum_{\sigma\subset\omega+\xi} e(\chi)(\sigma)((\omega+\xi)\backslash\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi\\ \omega_1+\omega_2=\omega}} e(\chi)(\xi_1+\omega_1)g(\omega_2+\xi_2)$$

$$= \sum e(\xi)(\xi_1)e(\chi)(\omega_1)(a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega_2) = \sum_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} e(\chi)(\xi_1)(\exp(a^+(\chi)a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega)$$

and

$$\left\langle R_t^s g \left| \binom{N}{k} \right| R_t^s g \right\rangle = \int \lambda_{\omega+\xi}((R_t^s g)(\omega+\xi))^2 \mathbf{1}\{\#\xi = k\}$$

$$\leq 2^k \int \lambda_{\omega+\xi} \sum_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} e(\chi^2)(\xi_1)(\exp(a^+(\chi)a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega))^2\mathbf{1}\{\#\xi = k\}$$

$$\leq 2^k \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \int_{\#\xi=k_1} \lambda_{\xi_1} e(\chi^2)(\xi_1)(c_{n-k_2}(t-s))^2 \int_{\#\xi_2=k_2} \lambda_{\xi_2+\omega}(a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega))^2$$

$$\leq 2^k \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} (1/k_1!)C^{2k_1}(t-s)^{k_1}(c_{n-k_2}(t-s))^2 \left\langle g \left| \binom{N}{k_2} \right| g \right\rangle$$

and

$$\left\langle g \left| \binom{N}{k_2} \right| g \right\rangle \leq \binom{n}{k_2}\|g\|_\Gamma^2.$$

From there follows the first assertion of the proposition.

We investigate the second assertion.As

$$(\mathcal{O}(u_s^t f - f)(\omega) = \sum_{\sigma\subset\omega}\sum_{\tau\subset\omega\setminus\sigma}\int \lambda_v u_s^t(\sigma,\tau,v)\mathbf{1}\{\sigma+\tau+v\neq\emptyset\}f(\omega\setminus\sigma+v)$$

we may estimate the norm by

$$\sum_{\sigma\subset\omega}\sum_{\tau\subset\omega\setminus\sigma}\int \lambda_v \exp(C(t-s))e(\chi)(\sigma+\tau+v)\|f(\omega\setminus\sigma+v)\|\mathbf{1}\{\sigma+\tau+v\neq\emptyset\}$$
$$= \exp(C(t-s))(R_s^t S_s^t T_s^t - 1)\|f\|)(\omega).$$

We have

$$\|T_s^t g - g\|_\Gamma$$
$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{n}(1/l!)\sqrt{n(n-1)\cdots(n-l+1)}C^l(t-s)^{l/2}\|g\|_\Gamma = O(\sqrt{t-s})$$

We have

$$((S_s^t - 1)g)(\omega) = ((e(1+\chi)(\omega)-1)g(\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{c\in\omega}\chi(c)e(1+\chi)(\omega\setminus c)g(\omega) \leq \sum_{c\in\omega}\chi(c)(1+C)^{n-1}g(\omega).$$

As $f \in \mathcal{K}^n$, there exists a compact interval $K\subset\mathbb{R}$, $[s,t]\subset K$, such that $g(\omega)\leq e(\mathbf{1}_K)(\omega)$ for $\#\omega\leq n$, if $g(\omega)\leq 1$ for all ω. We have

$$\sum_{c\in\omega}\chi(c)(1+C)^{n-1}g(\omega) \leq \sum_{c\in\omega}\chi(c)(1+C)^n e(\mathbf{1}_K)(\omega\setminus c)$$

The norm may be estimated by

$$\sqrt{n+1}\sqrt{t-s}(1+C)^n\exp(|K|/2).$$

We have

$$((R_t^s - 1)g)(\xi+\omega) = \sum_{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi} e(\chi)(\xi_1)(\exp(a^+(\chi))a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega) - a_\xi g(\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1+\xi_2=\xi\\ \xi_1\neq\emptyset}} e(\chi)(\xi_1)(\exp(a^+(\chi))a_{\xi_2}g)(\omega) + (\exp(a^+(\chi))-1)a_\xi)g(\omega) = I+II.$$

The Γ-norm square of I can be estimated by

$$2^k\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k\\ k_1\neq 0}}(1/k_1!)C^{2k_1}(t-s)^{k_1}(c_{n-k_2}(t-s))^2\binom{n}{k_2}\|g\|_\Gamma^2 = O(t-s).$$

The norm of II can be estimated by

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(1/l!)\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+l)}C^l(t-s)^{l/2}(\int \lambda_{\xi+\omega}g(\xi+\omega)^2)^{1/2}$$
$$= O(\sqrt{t-s})$$

From these results one obtains the second assertion of the proposition easily. $\square$

4.4. **Time Consecutive Intervals.** We consider again $u_s^t(A_1, A_0, A_{-1}; B)$. We showed in 4.4, that $\mathcal{O}(u_s^t) : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \to \Gamma$ is bounded. So $\mathcal{B}(u_r^t, u_s^r)$ exists (see 1.10).

Proposition 4.4.1. *For $s < r < t$ we have*

$$\mathcal{B}(u_r^t, u_s^r) = \mathcal{B}(u_s^t).$$

Proof. If $\{r, s, t, T_{\sigma+\tau+\tau}\}^\bullet$ is without multiple points, then we showed in remark 2.2.1

$$u_s^t(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) = u_r^t(\sigma_2', \tau_2', \upsilon_2')u_s^r(\sigma_1', \tau_1', \upsilon_1')$$

with

$$\sigma_2' = \{c \in \sigma : r < t_c < t\}, \quad \sigma_1' = \{c \in \sigma : r < t_c < t\}$$

etc..Hence

$$u_s^t(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma \\ \tau_1+\tau_2=\tau \\ \upsilon_1+\upsilon_2=\upsilon}} u_r^t(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)u_s^r(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1) \qquad (*)$$

as from this terms only those terms survive, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma_1', \sigma_2 = \sigma_2', \cdots$. We have

$$\langle f|\mathcal{B}(u_r^t, u_s^r)|g\rangle = \int f^+(\pi)u_r^t(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)u_t^r(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)g(\varrho)$$
$$\langle a_\pi a_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}^+ a_{t_2+\upsilon_2} a_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}^+ a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a_\varrho^+\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}$$

We claim that the last term equals

$$\int f^+(\pi)u_r^t(\sigma_2, \tau_2, \upsilon_2)u_t^r(\sigma_1, \tau_1, \upsilon_1)g(\varrho)$$
$$\langle a_\pi a_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}^+ a_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}^+ a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2} a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1} a_\varrho^+\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2} \qquad (**)$$

Take $c \in \tau_2 + \upsilon_2$, e.g.$c \in \upsilon_2$, then

$$a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2}a_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}^+ = a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2\setminus c}a_{\sigma_1+\tau_1}^+a_c + \sum_{b\in\sigma_2+\tau_2} \varepsilon(c, b)a_{\tau_2+\upsilon_2\setminus c}a_{(\sigma_1+\tau_1)\setminus c}^+.$$

But

$$\int f^+(\pi)u_r^t(\sigma_2,\tau_2,\upsilon_2)u_t^r(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)g(\varrho)$$
$$\varepsilon(c,b)\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_2+\tau_2}a_{t_2+\upsilon_2\backslash c}a^+_{(\sigma_1+\tau_1)\backslash b}a_{\tau_1+\upsilon_1}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_1+\upsilon_2}=0$$

as

$$\int\lambda_c\varepsilon(c,b)\mathbf{1}\{r<t_c<t\}\mathbf{1}\{s<t_b<r\}=\int\lambda_c\mathbf{1}\{r<t_c<t\}\mathbf{1}\{s<t_c<r\}=0.$$

With the help of these considerations we prove successively $(**)$ and with the help of $(*)$ and the sum integral lemma we obtain the result. □

4.5. **Unitarity.**

Theorem 4.5.1. *Assume* $u_s^t=u_s^t(A_1,A_0,A_{-1};B)$*. The mapping*

$$\mathcal{O}(u_s^t):\mathcal{K}\to\Gamma$$

can be extended to a unitary mapping

$$U_s^t:\Gamma\to\Gamma,$$

iff the operators $A_i, i=1,0,-1;B$ *fulfill the following conditions: There exist a unitary operator* Υ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}A_0&=\Upsilon-1\\A_1&=-\Upsilon A_{-1}^+\\B+B^+&=-A_1^+A_1=-A_{-1}A_{-1}^+.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall proposition 2.3.. For fixed s is the function $t\mapsto u_s^t$ and for fixes t is the function $s\mapsto u_s^t$ of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and one has

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^c u_s^t&=Bu_s^t\\(R_+^j u_s^{\cdot})_t&=A_ju_s^t\\(R_-^j u_s^{\cdot})_t&=0\\\partial_s^c u_s^t&=-u_s^tB\\(R_+^j u_{\cdot}^t)_s&=0\\(R_-^j u_{\cdot}^t)_s&=u_s^tA_j\end{aligned}$$

for $j=1,0,-1$. We recall Ito's formula theorem 3.2.1 Assume x_t,y_t to be of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ and that for $f,g\in\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{k})$ the sesquilinear forms $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F_t,G_t)|g\rangle$ exist in norm and $t\in\mathbb{R}\mapsto\langle f|\mathcal{B}(F_t,G_t)|g\rangle$ is locally integrable, where

$$F_t\in\{x_t,\partial^c x_t,R_\pm^1x_t,R_\pm^0x_t,R_\pm^{-1}x_t\}$$

$$G_t \in \{y_t, \partial^c y_t, R^1_\pm y_t, R^0_\pm y_t, R^{-1}_\pm y_t\}$$

is any of the functions.

Then the Schwartz derivative of $\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle$ is a locally integrable function and yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\langle f|\mathcal{B}(x_t, y_t)|g\rangle =&\langle f|\mathcal{B}(\partial^c x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, \partial^c y_t) + I_{-1,+1,t}|g\rangle \\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^1 x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^1 y_t) + I_{0,+1,t}|g\rangle \\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(D^0 x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^0 y_t) + I_{0,0,t}|a(t)g\rangle \\ &+ \langle f|\mathcal{B}(D^{-1} x_t, y_t) + \mathcal{B}(f, D^{-1} y_t) + I_{-1,0,t}|a(t)g\rangle\end{aligned}$$

with

$$I_{i,j,t} = \mathcal{B}(R^i_+ x_t, R^j_+ y_t) - \mathcal{B}(R^i_- x_t, R^j_- y_t)$$

We want to calculate the Schwartz derivativs of

$$t \mapsto \langle f|\mathcal{B}((u^t_s)^+, u^t_s)|g\rangle$$
$$s \mapsto \langle f|\mathcal{B}(u^t_s, (u^t_s)^+)|g\rangle.$$

The derivativs exist, because $u : \mathcal{K} \to \Gamma$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\langle f|\mathcal{B}((u^t_s)^+, u^t_s)|g\rangle =& \langle f|\mathcal{B}(u^+, C_1 u)|g\rangle + \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(u^+, C_2 u)|g\rangle \\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(u^+, C_3 u)|a(t)g\rangle + \langle f|\mathcal{B}(u^+, C_4 u)|a(t)g\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s\langle f|\mathcal{B}(u^t_s, (u^t_s)^+)|g\rangle =& \langle f|\mathcal{B}(u, C_5 u^+)|g\rangle + \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(u, C_6 u^+)|g\rangle \\ &+ \langle a(t)f|\mathcal{B}(u, C_7 u^+)|a(t)g\rangle + \langle f|\mathcal{B}(u, C_8 u^+)|a(t)g\rangle\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= B + B^+ + A_1^+ A_1 & C_5 &= B + B^+ + A_{-1} A_{-1}^+ \\ C_2 &= A_{-1}^+ + A_1 + A_0^+ A_1 & C_6 &= A_1 + A_{-1}^+ + A_0 A_{-1}^+ \\ C_3 &= A_0^+ + A_0 + A_0^+ A_0 & C_7 &= A_0 + A_0^+ + A_0 A_0^+ \\ C_4 &= A_1^+ + A_{-1} + A_1^+ A_0 & C_8 &= A_{-1} + A_1^+ + A_{-1} A_0^+\end{aligned}$$

The operator $\mathcal{O}(u^t_s)$ is unitary iff both derivativs vanish and they vanish,iff $C_i = 0, i = 1, \cdots, 8$. The equations $C_3 = 0$ and $C_7 = 0$ imply

$$(1 + A_0^+)(1 + A_0) = (1 + A_0)(1 + A_0^+) = 1.$$

So

$$\Upsilon = 1 + A_0$$

is unitary. The equations are not independent.We have $C_2^+ = C_4$ and $C_6^+ = C_8$.Furthermore

$$C_2 = A_{-1}^+ + (1 + A_0^+)A_1 = A_{-1}^+ + \Upsilon^+ A_1 = \Upsilon^+ C_6.$$

So $C_2 = 0$ implies $A_1 = -\Upsilon A_{-1}^+$, and from there $C_1 = C_5$. $\square$

Definition 4.5.1. *For $t < s$ we define*

$$U_s^t = (U_t^s)^+.$$

Proposition 4.5.1. *For $r, s, t, \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$U_r^t U_s^r = U_s^t.$$

Proof. For $s < r < t$ and $t < r < s$ the assertion follows from proposition 4.4.1. For $s < t < r$ we calculate

$$\langle f | U_r^t U_s^r g \rangle = \langle U_t^r f | (U_t^r)^+ (U_s^t g) \rangle = \langle f | U_s^t g \rangle.$$

The other variants can be calculated in a similar way. $\square$

4.6. **Estimation of the Γ_k-norm.** Recall subsection 1.10

$$\int \mathfrak{m}(\pi, \sigma, \tau, \upsilon, \varrho) f^+(\omega) F(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) g(\varrho) = \int f^+(\omega)((\mathcal{O}F)g)(\omega) = \langle f, \mathcal{O}(F)g \rangle$$

with

$$((\mathcal{O}(F)g)(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha \subset \omega} \sum_{\beta \subset \omega \setminus \alpha} \int_\upsilon \lambda_\upsilon F(\alpha, \beta, \upsilon) g(\omega \setminus \alpha + \upsilon).$$

So $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a mapping from $\mathcal{K}_s(\mathfrak{X})$ into the locally λ-integrable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$. Extend it to those functions g, such that the integral exists in norm for almost all ω.

Lemma 4.6.1. *Assume a locally integrable function $F : \mathfrak{R}^3 \to B(\mathfrak{K})$ such that for $g \in \mathcal{K}$ we have $\|\mathcal{O}(F)g\|_\Gamma \leq const\|g\|_\Gamma$ and $\|\mathcal{O}(F^+)g\|_\Gamma \leq const\|g\|_\Gamma$. Let $T : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ the operator , such that $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is the restriction of T to $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{O}(F^+)$ is the restriction of T^+ to $\mathcal{K}$. Assume $f \in \Gamma$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\|F\|)\|f\| \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$Tf = \mathcal{O}(F)f$$

Proof. Assume $g, h \in \mathcal{K}$,then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h | Tg \rangle = \langle h | \mathcal{O}(F)g \rangle &= \int h^+(\omega) F(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) g(\varrho) \mathfrak{m} \\ &= \overline{\int g^+(\omega) F^+(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon) h(\varrho) \mathfrak{m}} = \langle \mathcal{O}(F^+)h | g \rangle = \langle T^+ h | g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle a_\omega a_{\sigma+\tau}^+ a_{\tau+\upsilon} a_\varrho^+ \rangle \lambda_{\omega+\upsilon}.$$

So $\mathcal{O}(F^+)$ is the restriction of T^+ to $\mathcal{K}$.

We have

$$\int \|h(\omega)\| \|F(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)\| \|g(\varrho)\| \mathfrak{m} < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int h^+(\omega)(\mathcal{O}(F)f)(\omega)d\omega = \overline{\int f^+(\omega)(\mathcal{O}(F^+)h)(\omega)d\omega} = \overline{\langle f|T^+h\rangle} = \langle h|Tf\rangle.$$

As this holds for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$ the assertion follows. □

Lemma 4.6.2. *Asssume $u_s^t = u_s^t(A_i, B)$ satisfying the unitarity conditions and U_s^t the corresponding unitary operator. Assume $G_1, \cdots, G_k \in B(\mathfrak{k})$ and $s = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} = t$ and*

$$F(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_0+\sigma_1+\cdots+\sigma_k=\sigma \\ \tau_0+\tau_1+\cdots+\tau_k=\tau \\ \upsilon_0+\upsilon_1+\cdots+\upsilon_k=\upsilon}} u_{t_k}^t(\sigma_k,\tau_k,\upsilon_k)G_k u_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(\sigma_{k-1},\tau_{k-1},\upsilon_{k-1})G_{k-1} \cdots G_2 u_{t_1}^{t_2}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)G_1 u_s^{t_1}(\sigma_0,\tau_0,\upsilon_0).$$

Then for $f \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\mathcal{O}(F)g = U_{t_k}^t G_k U_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} G_{k-1} \cdots G_2 U_{t_1}^{t_2} G_1 U_s^{t_1} g$$

Proof. The case $k = 0$ is clear. We prove by induction from $k-1$ to k. Put for short

$$u(i) = u_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}(\sigma_i,\tau_i,\upsilon_i).$$

Then

$$F = \sum u(k)G_k \cdots u(1)G_1 u(0) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_k+\sigma'=\sigma \\ \tau_k+\tau'=\tau \\ \upsilon_k+\upsilon'=\upsilon}} u(k)G_k F'(\sigma',\tau',\upsilon')$$

with

$$F'(\sigma',\tau',\upsilon') = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_0+\cdots+\sigma_{k-1}=\sigma' \\ \tau_0+\cdots+\tau_{k-1}=\tau' \\ \upsilon_0+\cdots+\upsilon_{k-1}=\upsilon'}} u(k-1)G_{k-1} \cdots u(1)G_1 u(0)$$

Go back to the proof of 4.4.1. Put

$$C = \max(\|A_i\|, i = 1,0,-1; \|B\|; \|G_i\|, i = 1,\cdots,k).$$

For $g \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$\|(\mathcal{O}(\|F\|)\|g\|)\|_\Gamma \leq c\|g\|_\Gamma$$

$$\|(\mathcal{O}(\|F'\|)\|g\|)\|_\Gamma \leq c'\|g\|_\Gamma.$$

For $h \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\int h^+(\omega)(\mathcal{O}(F)g)(\omega)\lambda_\omega = \int h^+(\pi)F(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon)g(\varrho)\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma+\tau}a_{\tau+\upsilon}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}.$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of 4.4.1 the last term equals

$$\int h^+(\pi)u(k)G_kF'(\sigma',\tau',\upsilon')g(\varrho)\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_k+\tau_k}a_{\tau_k+\upsilon_k}a^+_{\sigma'+\tau'}a_{\tau'+\upsilon'}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_k+\upsilon'}.$$

Now following theorem 1.9.1

$$\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_k+\tau_k}a_{\tau_k+\upsilon_k}a^+_{\sigma'+\tau'}a_{\tau'+\upsilon'}a^+_\varrho\rangle = \int_\omega \langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_k+\tau_k}a_{\tau_k+\upsilon_k}a^+_\omega\rangle\langle a_\omega a^+_{\sigma'+\tau'}a_{\tau'+\upsilon'}a^+_\varrho\rangle$$

As

$$\int F'(\sigma',\tau',\upsilon')g(\varrho)\langle a_\omega a^+_{\sigma'+\tau'}a_{\tau'+\upsilon'}a^+_\varrho\rangle\lambda_{\upsilon'} = (\mathcal{O}(F')g)(\omega) = f(\omega)$$

the integrability conditions are fulfilled and one obtains

$$\int h^+(\omega)(\mathcal{O}(F)g)(\omega)\lambda_\omega = \int h^+(\pi)u(k)G_kf(\omega)\langle a_\pi a^+_{\sigma_k+\tau_k}a_{\tau_k+\upsilon_k}a^+_\omega\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon_k}.$$

The conditions of the preceding lemma are fulfilled, the last expression equals

$$\langle h|U^t_{t_k}f\rangle = \langle h|U^t_{t_k}G_kU^{t_k}_{t_{k-1}}G_{k-1}\cdots G_2U^{t_2}_{t_1}G_1U^{t_1}_s g\rangle$$

using the hypothesis of induction. $\square$

Theorem 4.6.1. *For any k there exists a polynomial P of degree $\leq k$, such that for $g \in \Gamma_k$*

$$\|U^t_s g\|^2_{\Gamma_k} \leq P(|t-s|)\|g\|^2_{\Gamma_k}.$$

Proof. Following lemma 4.3.1 and proposition 4.3.1 we have for $f \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\langle U^t_s f|\binom{N}{k}|U^t_s f\rangle = \int \|(U^t_s f)(\omega+\xi)\|^2\lambda_{\omega+\xi} < \infty$$

Hence $\int \|(U^t_s f)(\omega+\xi)\|^2\lambda_\omega < \infty$ for allmost all ξ.We have

$$(\mathcal{O}(u^t_s))(\omega) = \sum_{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=\omega}\int \lambda_\upsilon u^t_s(\omega_1,\omega_2,\upsilon)f(\omega_2+\omega_3+\upsilon)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{O}(u^t_s))(\omega+\xi) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=\omega\\ \xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3=\xi}}\int \lambda_\upsilon u^t_s(\omega_1+\xi_1,\omega_2+\xi_2,\upsilon)f(\omega_2+\xi_2+\omega_3+\xi_3+\upsilon) \\ &= (\mathcal{O}((u^t_s)_{\xi_1,\xi_2}))f_{\xi_2+\xi_3})(\omega)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$(u_s^t)_{\xi_1,\xi_2}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) = u_s^t(\sigma+\xi_1,\tau+\xi_2,\upsilon)$$

$$f_{\xi_1+\xi_2}(\varrho) = f(\xi_1+\xi_2+\varrho).$$

Assume that the multiset $\{s,t,t_\xi,t_{\sigma+\tau+\upsilon}\}^\bullet$ is without multiple points and order the set

$$\{(t_i,1) : i\in\xi_1\} + \{t_i,0) : i\in\xi_0\} = \{(t_1,i_1),\cdots,(t_l,i_l)\}$$

with $t_1<\cdots<t_l$ and $i_j\in\{1,0\}$.Then

$$(u_s^t)_{\xi_1,\xi_2}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_0+\sigma_1+\cdots+\sigma_l=\sigma\\ \tau_0+\tau_1+\cdots+\tau_l=\tau\\ \upsilon_0+\upsilon_1+\cdots+\upsilon_l=\upsilon}} u_{t_l}^t(\sigma_l,\tau_l,\upsilon_l)A_{i_l}u_{t_{l-1}}^{t_l}(\sigma_{l-1},\tau_{l-1},\upsilon_{l-1})A_{i_{l-1}} \cdots A_{i_2}u_{t_1}^{t_2}(\sigma_1,\tau_1,\upsilon_1)A_{i_1}u_s^{t_1}(\sigma_0,\tau_0,\upsilon_0).$$

Using the last lemma we obtain for $h\in\mathcal{K}$

$$\mathcal{O}((u_s^t)_{\xi_1,\xi_2})h = U_{t_l}^t A_{i_l}\cdots A_{i_2}U_{t_1}^{t_2}A_{i_1}U_s^{t_1}h$$

If $C=\max(\|A_i\|,\|B\|)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{O}((u_s^t)_{\xi_1,\xi_2})h\|_\Gamma \le C^{\#(\xi_1+\xi_2)}\mathbf{1}\{t_{\xi_1+\xi_2}\subset[s,t]\}\|h\|_\Gamma.$$

Finally

$$\langle U_s^t f|\binom{N}{k}|U_s^t f\rangle = \int\lambda_{\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3}\int\lambda_\omega\|\mathcal{O}(u_s^t)_{\xi_1,\xi_2}f_{\xi_2+\xi_3}h\|(\omega)^2$$

$$\le\int\lambda_{\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3}C^{2\#(\xi_1+\xi_2)}\mathbf{1}\{t_{\xi_1+\xi_2}\subset s,t\}\|f_{\xi_2+\xi_3}\|_\Gamma^2$$

$$\le C^{2k}\sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}(t-s)^{k_1}/(k_1!)\langle f|\binom{N}{k_2+k_3}|f\rangle$$

The proof for $t<s$ goes in the same way.□

5. THE HAMILTONIAN

5.1. **Definition of the One Parameter Group $W(t)$.** Denote by $\vartheta(t)$ the rightshift on $\mathbb{R}$ and extend it to $\mathfrak{R}$,

$$\vartheta(t)(t_1,\cdots,t_n) = (t_1+t,\cdots,t_n+t)$$

If $\{t_1,\cdots,t_n\}^\bullet$ is a multiset we define

$$\vartheta(t)\{t_1,\cdots,t_n\}^\bullet = \{t_1+t,\cdots,t_n+t\}^\bullet$$

In the notation $\{t_1, \cdots, t_n\}^\bullet = t_\alpha$ we write $\vartheta(t)t_\alpha = t_\alpha + t\, e_\alpha$ with $e_\alpha = \{1, \cdots, 1\}^\bullet$.

If f is a function on $\mathfrak{R}$, then $(\vartheta(t)f)(w) = f(\vartheta(t)w)$. If μ is a measure on $\mathfrak{R}$,then $\vartheta(t)\mu$ is defined by the property

$$\int (\vartheta(t)\mu(dw))\,(\vartheta(t)f(w)) = \int \mu(dw)f(w).$$

If $\mu(dw) = g(w)dw$ then $\vartheta(t)\mu(dw) = (\vartheta(t)g)(w)dw$. Simlar notations hold for $\mathfrak{R}^k$. We have

$$(\vartheta(t)\varepsilon_x)(dy) = \varepsilon_{t-x}(dy).$$

Lemma 5.1.1. *If W is an admissible sequence, then $\langle W\rangle\lambda_{\omega_-\setminus\omega_+}$ is a shift invariant measure.*

Proof. Following 1.9 this measure is a sum of measures where each one is a tensor product of measures of the form

$$\Lambda(dt_1, \cdots, dt_n) : \int \Lambda(dt_1, \cdots, dt_n)f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \int dtf(t, \cdots, t).$$

So it is clearly invariant due to the invariance of Lebesgue measure dt. □

Using the defining formulas one obtains immediately

Lemma 5.1.2. *One has*

$$\Theta(r)u_s^t = u_{s-r}^{t-r}$$

for $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define a unitary operator $\Theta(t)$ on Γ by $\Theta(t)f = \Theta(t)f$.

Proposition 5.1.1. *The operators U_s^t, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ form a cocycle with respect to $\Theta(t)$, i.e.*

$$\Theta(r)U_s^t\Theta(-r) = U_{s-r}^{t-r}.$$

Proof. Use the invariance of

$$\mathfrak{m} = \langle a_\pi a_{\sigma+\tau}^+ a_{\tau+\upsilon} a_\varrho^+\rangle\lambda_{\pi+\upsilon}.$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^+(\pi)u_{s-r}^{t-r}(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon)g(\varrho)\mathfrak{m} &= \int (\Theta(-r)f^+)(\pi)u_s^t(\sigma, \tau, \upsilon)(\Theta(-r)g)(\varrho)\mathfrak{m} \\ &= \langle\Theta(-r)f|U_s^t\Theta(-r)g\rangle = \langle f|\Theta(r)U_s^t\Theta(-r)g\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.1.2. *Define for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$W(t) = \Theta(t)\, U_0^t,$$

then $W(t)$ *is a unitary strongly continuous one parameter semigroup on* Γ.

Proof. We have $W(0) = 1$ and

$$W(s+t) = \Theta(t+s)U_0^{t+s} = \Theta(s)\Theta(t)U_s^{t+s}\Theta(-t)\Theta(t)U_0^t = W(s)W(t)$$

and

$$W(t)^+ = U_t^0\,\Theta(-t) = \Theta(-t)U_0^{-t} = W(-t)$$

□

An immediate consequence of theorem 4.6.1 is

Proposition 5.1.3. *The operators* $W(t)$ *map the space* Γ_k *into itself and*

$$\|W(t)f\|^2_{\Gamma_k} \leq P(|t|)\|f\|^2_{\Gamma_K}$$

where P *is a polynomial of degree* $\leq k$.

5.2. **Definition of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+, \hat{\partial}$.** If φ is an integrable function on the real line, we define

$$\Theta(\varphi) = \int \varphi(t)\Theta(t)dt$$

an operator mapping Γ_k into Γ_k for all k .

If ν is a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and f a locally integrable function , symmetric function on $\mathfrak{R}$, then

$$(a^+(\nu)f\lambda)(\omega) = \sum_{c\in\omega} \nu(c)f(\omega \setminus c)\lambda(\omega \setminus c)$$

We shall use again the convention of L.Schwartz and denote $f\lambda$ by$f$. So

$$(a^+(\nu)f)(\omega) = \sum_{c\in\omega} \nu(c)f(\omega \setminus c).$$

We set

$$\mathfrak{a} = a(\varepsilon_0) = a(0) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{a}^+ = a^+(\varepsilon_0)$$

We use gothic $\mathfrak{a}^+$ in order to distinguish from $a^+(dx) = a^+(\varepsilon(dx))$ used in the preceding text. $a(\varepsilon_0) = a(0)g$ is the same as before.We have

$$(\mathfrak{a}^+f)(\omega) = \sum_{c\in\omega} \varepsilon_0(dt_c)f(t_{\omega\setminus c}) = \sum_{c\in\omega} \varepsilon_0(dt_c)f(t_{\omega\setminus c})\lambda(dt_{\omega\setminus c}).$$

The duality relation (subsection 1.3) becomes

$$\int g(\omega)(\mathfrak{a}^+f)(\omega) = \int (\mathfrak{a}g)(\omega)f(\omega)\lambda(\omega).$$

Lemma 5.2.1. *Assume $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\Theta(\varphi)$ maps the singular measure $\mathfrak{a}^+ f$ into a absolute continuous measure identified with its density and we have*

$$(\Theta(\varphi)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(t_\omega) = \sum_{c \in \omega} \varphi(-t_c)(\Theta(-t_c)f)(\omega \setminus c)$$

The application $\Theta(\varphi)\mathfrak{a}^+$ can be extended to a mapping $\Gamma_k \to \Gamma_{k-1}$ and we have

$$\|\Theta(\varphi)\mathfrak{a}^+ f\|_{\Gamma_{k-1}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{\Gamma_k}.$$

We have

$$\int g(\omega)^+(\Theta(\varphi)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(t_\omega)d\omega = \int (\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi^+)g)^+(\omega)f(\omega)d\omega.$$

with $\varphi^+(t) = \overline{\varphi(-t)}$. One obtains

$$(\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \int \varphi(s)ds f(s, t_1 + s, \cdots, t_n + s).$$

The application can be extended to a mapping $\Gamma_k \to \Gamma_{k-1}$ and we have

$$\|\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi)f\|_{\Gamma_{k-1}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{\Gamma_k}.$$

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int ds\, \varphi(s)\Theta(s) \sum_{c \in \omega} \varepsilon_0(dt_c) f(t_{\omega \setminus c})\lambda(dt_{\omega \setminus c}) \\
&\qquad = \int ds\, \varphi(s)\Theta(s) \sum_{c \in \omega} \varepsilon_{-s}(dt_c)(\Theta(s)f)(t_{\omega \setminus c})\lambda(dt_{\omega \setminus c}) \\
&\qquad = \int ds\, \varphi(-s)\Theta(-s) \sum_{c \in \omega} \varepsilon_s(dt_c)(\Theta(-s)f)(t_{\omega \setminus c})\lambda(dt_{\omega \setminus c}) \\
&\qquad\qquad = \sum_{c \in \omega} \varphi(-t_c)(\Theta(-t_c)f)(\omega \setminus c)\lambda(\omega)
\end{aligned}$$

So $\Theta(\varphi)$ works as a mollyfier,as it is called in the theory of Schwartz's distributions, making a function out of a singular measure . The other results folllow by simple calculations. □

Lemma 5.2.2. *If $\varphi \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$,then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mapsto g(x) \\
&g(x)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = (\Theta(\varphi)f)((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + x)
\end{aligned}$$

maps $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \mapsto g(x)$ is a continuous function bounded by $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$.

Proof. We have with $e = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|g(x) - g(y)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \int dt_1 \cdots dt_n \| \int ds\, \varphi(s)(f((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + se + x) - f((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + se + y)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \int dt_1 \cdots dt_n \int ds \\
&\quad \|f(s + x_0, t_1 + s + x_1, \cdots, t_n + s + x_n) - f(s + y_0, t_1 + s + y_1, \cdots, t_n + s + y_n)\|^2 \\
&= \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \int dt_0 \cdots dt_n \|f(t_0 + x_0, \cdots, t_n + x_n) - f(t_0 + y_0, \cdots, t_n + y_n)\|^2 \\
&= \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(T(x) - T(y))f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}
\end{aligned}$$

where $T(x)$ denotes the translation by x. The bound for $\|g(x)\|$ can be shown in the same way. □

Lemma 5.2.3. *Assume* $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *a continuous bounded function on* $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *with right and left limits at* 0 *or with other words* η *is a continuous bounded function on* $\mathbb{R}_0$. *We show*

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mapsto g(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$g(x)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = (\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + x)$$

and

$$\|g(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (n + 1)\|\eta\|_\infty \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ *and* $x \mapsto g(x)$ *is continuous in* $\{(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n) : x_0 \neq 0\}$. *We have* $g(0\pm, x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ *exist and*

$$g(0+, x_1, \cdots, x_n) - g(0-, x_1, \cdots, x_n) = -(\eta(0+) - \eta(0-))T(x_1, \cdots, x_n)f$$

where $T(x)$ *is the translation by* x.

Proof. We have

$$(\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) = k_0(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + \cdots + k_n(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) &= \eta(-t_0) f(t_1 - t_0, t_2 - t_0, \cdots, t_n - t_0) \\
k_1(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) &= \eta(-t_1) f(t_0 - t_1, t_2 - t_1, \cdots, t_n - t_1) \\
&\vdots \\
k_n(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) &= \eta(-t_n) f(t_0 - t_n, t_1 - t_n, \cdots, t_{n-1} - t_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$g_i(x)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = k_i((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + x)$$

and discuss at first g_i with $i \neq 0$, e.g. g_n.We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_n(x)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = k_n((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + x) \\
&= \eta(-x_n - t_n) f(x_0 - x_n - t_n, x_1 - x_n + t_1 - t_n, \cdots, x_{n-1} - x_n + t_{n-1} - t_n) \\
&= \eta(-x_n - t_n)(T(x')f)(-t_n, t_1 - t_n, \cdots, t_{n-1} - t_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$x' = (x_0 - x_n, x_1 - x_n, \cdots, x_{n-1} - x_n).$$

From there one obtains

$$\|g_n(x)\| \leq \|\eta\|_\infty \|f\|.$$

We have

$$\int dt_1 \cdots dt_n \|k_n((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + x) - k_n((0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) + y)\|^2$$

$$\leq 2 \int dt_1 \cdots dt_n |\eta(-x_n - t_n) - \eta(-y_n - t_n)|^2$$

$$\|(T(x')f)(-t_n, t_1 - t_n, \cdots, t_{n-1} - t_{n-1})\|^2$$

$$+2\|\eta\|_\infty^2 \int dt_1 \cdots dt_n \|(T(x') - T(y'))f(-t_n, t_1 - t_n, \cdots, t_{n-1} - t_{n-1})\|^2$$

For $y \longrightarrow x$ the first term goes to zero by the theorem of Lebesgue, in the second term observe, that $z \mapsto T(z)f$ is normcontinuous.So $g_n(x)$ and $g_i(x), i \neq 0$ is continuous for all x. We have

$$g_0(x) = \eta(-t_0) f(t_1 + x_1 - x_0, t_2 + x_2 - x_0, \cdots, t_n + x_n - x_0).$$

From there one obtains the result. $\square$

We double the point 0 and introduce

$$\mathbb{R}_0 =]-\infty, -0] + [+0, \infty[$$

with the usual topology. A function f on $\mathbb{R}_0$ is continuous, if its restriction to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is continuous and if both limits $f(\pm 0)$ exist.We define

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 = \{\emptyset\} + \mathbb{R}_0 + \mathbb{R}_0^2 + \cdots.$$

We introduce on $\mathbb{R}_0$ and on $\mathfrak{R}_0$ the Lebesgue measure λ. A continuous function on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ which has left and right limits at 0, can be considered as a function on $\mathbb{R}_0$. We define the measures $\varepsilon_{\pm 0}$ and for symmetric functions f on $\mathfrak{R}_0$ the operators $\mathfrak{a}_\pm = a(\varepsilon_{\pm 0})$ and $\mathfrak{a}_\pm^+ = a^+(\varepsilon_{\pm 0})$ and shall use similar conventions as above.We put

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{+0} + \varepsilon_{-0}) \qquad \hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}_+ + \mathfrak{a}_-) \qquad \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}_+^+ + \mathfrak{a}_-^+).$$

A δ-sequence is a sequence of functions $\varphi_n \in C_c^\infty$ such that

$$\int \varphi_n(t) dt = 1, \qquad \int |\varphi_n(t)| dt \leq C < \infty, \qquad \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_n) \subset]-\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_n[$$

and $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$.

Definition 5.2.1. *We call a δ-sequence φ_n a* symmetric *δ-sequence if the φ_n are real and if $\varphi_n(t) = \varphi_n(-t)$ for all n and t.*

Proposition 5.2.1. *Assume two functions f and η fulfilling the conditions of lemma 5.2.3, then $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f$ exists ,and*

$$\|\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f\|_\Gamma \leq \|\eta\|_\infty \|f\|_{\Gamma_2}$$

and if φ_n is a symmetric δ-sequence, then $\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi_n)\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}f$ exists and

$$\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi_n)\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f \to \hat{\mathfrak{a}}\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f$$

Proof. We apply lemma 5.2.3. We have

$$(\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(s, t_1, \cdots, t_n) = g(s, 0, \cdots, 0)(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$

and $g(s, 0, \cdots, 0) \to g(0+, 0, \cdots, 0)$ for $s \downarrow 0$. From there one concludes the existence of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f$.We have

$$(\mathfrak{a}\Theta(s)\Theta(\eta)\mathfrak{a}^+ f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = g(s, \cdots, s)(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$

and $g(s, \cdots, s) \to g(0+, 0, \cdots, 0)$ for $s \downarrow 0$. From there one obtains the rest of the proposition. □

Denote

$$\zeta(t) = \mathbf{1}\{t > 0\}e^{-t}$$

and

$$Z = \Theta(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}\Theta(t)\, dt$$

Definition 5.2.2. *The vector space $D \subset \Gamma$ is defined by*

$$D = \{f = Z(f_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ f_1) : f_0 \in \Gamma_1, f_1 \in \Gamma_2\}$$

As a consequence of lemmata 5.2.2 and 5.2.3 for $f \in D$ the mapping $f \to \hat{\mathfrak{a}}f$ exists and defines a application $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} : D \to \Gamma$, which stay bounded, if $\|f_0\|_{\Gamma_1}$ and $\|f_1\|_{\Gamma_2}$ stay bounded. Assume φ_n to be a symmetric δ-sequence and $f \in D$,then $\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi_n)f \to \hat{\mathfrak{a}}f$ in the norm of Γ.

If $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and φ_n a δ-sequence. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\varphi_n')f(t) &= \int \varphi_n'(s)f(t + se)ds \\ &= -\int \varphi_n(s)f'(t + se)ds \to -\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i}(t) = -(\partial f)(t)\end{aligned}$$

This motivates

Definition 5.2.3. *We define*

$$\hat{\partial} = -\lim \Theta(\varphi_n'),$$

where $\varphi_n$is a symmetric *δ-equence.*

The sense of the convergence and the domain of $\hat{\partial}$ will be explained in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2.2. *Assume φ_n to be a symmetric δ-sequence, then for $n \to \infty$ and $f = Z(f_0 + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ f_1) \in D$*

$$-\Theta(\varphi_n')f \to \hat{\partial} f = -(f_0 + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ f_1) + f$$

weakly over D,i.e.for $g \in D$

$$-\int g^+(\omega)(\Theta(\varphi_n')f)(\omega) \to \int g^+(\omega)(\hat{\partial} f)(\omega).$$

Proof. One has

$$-\Theta(\varphi_n')Z = -\Theta(\varphi_n' \star \zeta)$$

where $\star$ denotes the usual convolution and

$$\varphi_n' \star \zeta = \varphi_n \star \zeta' = \varphi_n \star (\varepsilon_0 - \zeta) = \varphi_n - \varphi_n \star \zeta.$$

So

$$-\Theta(\varphi_n')Z = -\Theta(\varphi_n) + \Theta(\varphi_n)Z$$

and for $f = Z(f_0 + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ f_1)$ we have

$$-\Theta(\varphi_n')f = -\Theta(\varphi_n)(f_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ f_1) + \Theta(\varphi_n)f$$

Now $\Theta(\varphi_n)f$ and $\Theta(\varphi_n)f_0$ converge to f,resp.f_0 in Γ- norm. We investigate for $g \in D$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int g(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m)^+ \Theta(\varphi_n)(\mathfrak{a}^+ f_1)(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m) dt_0 \cdots dt_m \\
&\qquad = \int (\mathfrak{a}\Theta(\varphi_n)g)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&\qquad \to \int (\hat{\mathfrak{a}} g)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
&\qquad\qquad = \int g(t_0, \cdots, t_n)(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ f)(t_1, \cdots t_n)
\end{aligned}$$

by proposition 5.2.3. □

Proposition 5.2.3. *The sesquilinear form*

$$f, g \in D \mapsto \langle f | i\hat{\partial} g\rangle = \int f^+(\omega)(i\hat{\partial} g)(\omega)$$

is symmetric,i.e.$\langle f | i\hat{\partial} g\rangle = \langle i\hat{\partial} f | g\rangle$.

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int g^+(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m)(\Theta(\varphi_n')f)(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m) dt_1 \cdots dt_m \\
&\qquad = -\int (\Theta(\varphi_n')g^+)(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m) f(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_m) dt_1 \cdots dt_m
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varphi_n(-s) = \varphi_n(s)$ and hence $\varphi_n(-s)' = -\varphi_n(s)$. This establishes the symmetry of $i\hat{\partial}$.$\square$

5.3. **Discussion of the Resolvent.** We go back to the group $W(t)$.

Definition 5.3.1. *For $z \in \mathbb{C}, \Im z \neq 0$ we define the resolvent $R(z)$*

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} -i\int_0^\infty e^{izt}W(t)dt & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ i\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{izt}W(t)dt & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore we set

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} -i\int_0^\infty e^{izt}W(t)a(t) & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ i\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{izt}W(t)a(t) & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\kappa(z) = \begin{cases} -i\mathbf{1}\{t > 0\}e^{izt+Bt} & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ i\mathbf{1}\{t > 0\}e^{izt-B^+t} & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \Theta(\kappa(z)) = \begin{cases} -i\int_0^\infty e^{izt}e^{Bt}\Theta(t)dt & \text{for} \quad \Im z > 0 \\ \\ +i\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{izt}e^{-B^+t}\Theta(t)dt & \text{for} \quad \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.3.1. *We have for $f \in \mathcal{K}$*

$$\begin{aligned} R(z)f &= \tilde{R}(z)f \\ &+ \begin{cases} i\tilde{R}(z)\mathfrak{a}^+A_1R(z)f + i\tilde{R}(z)\mathfrak{a}^+A_0S(z)f + i\tilde{R}(z)A_{-1}S(z)f \\ -i\tilde{R}(z)\mathfrak{a}^+A_{-1}^+R(z)f - i\tilde{R}(z)\mathfrak{a}^+A_0^+S(z)f - i\tilde{R}(z)A_1^+S(z)f \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The upper line holds for $\Im z > 0$, the lower one for $\Im z < 0$.

Proof. Directly from the definition for $t > s$

$$\begin{aligned} u_s^t(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) &= e^{B(t-s)}\mathbf{e}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) \\ &+ \sum_{c\in\sigma} e^{B(t-t_c)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c \in [s,t]\}A_1u_s^{t_c}(\sigma\setminus c,\tau,\upsilon) \\ &+ \sum_{c\in\tau} e^{B(t-t_c)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c \in [s,t]\}A_0u_s^{t_c}(\sigma,\tau\setminus c,\upsilon) \\ &+ \sum_{c\in\upsilon} e^{B(t-t_c)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c \in [s,t]\}A_{-1}u_s^{t_c}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon\setminus c) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= e^{B(t-s)}\mathbf{e}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{c\in\sigma} u^t_{t_c}(\sigma\setminus c,\tau,\upsilon)A_1 e^{B(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{c\in\tau} u^t_{t_c}(\sigma,\tau\setminus c,\upsilon)A_0 e^{B(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{c\in\upsilon} u^t_{t_c}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon\setminus c)A_{-1} e^{B(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u^t_s)^+(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) &= (u^t_s(\upsilon,\tau,\sigma)^+ = e^{B^+(t-s)}\mathbf{e}(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c\in\sigma} e^{B^+(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\}A^+_{-1}(u^{t_c}_s)^+(\sigma\setminus c,\tau,\upsilon) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c\in\tau} e^{B^+(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\}A^+_{0}(u^{t_c}_s)^+(\sigma,\tau\setminus c,\upsilon) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{c\in\upsilon} e^{B^+(t_c-s)}\mathbf{1}\{t_c\in[s,t]\}A^+_{1}(u^{t_c}_s)^+(\sigma,\tau,\upsilon\setminus c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $f,g\in\mathcal{K}$ and using the same arguments as in the proof of theorem 2.2.1we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f|U^t_s g\rangle &= \langle f|e^{B(t-s)}g\rangle + \int_s^t dr(\langle a(r)f|e^{B(t-r)}A_1 U^r_s g\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle a(t)f|e^{B(t-r)}A_0 U^r_s a(r)g\rangle + \langle f|e^{B(t-r)}A_{-1}U^r_s a(r)g\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f|(U^t_s)^+ g\rangle &= \langle f|e^{B^+(t-s)}g\rangle + \int_s^t dr(\langle a(r)f|e^{B^+(r-s)}A^+_{-1}(U^t_r)^+ g\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle a(r)f|e^{B^+(r-s)}A^+_0(U^t_r)^+ a(r)g\rangle + \langle f|e^{B^+(r-s)}A^+_1(U^t_r)^+ a(r)g\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally for $t>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f|U^t_0 g\rangle &= \langle f|e^{Bt}g\rangle + \int_0^t dr(\langle a(r)f|e^{B(t-r)}A_1 U^r_0 g\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle a(r)f|e^{B(t-r)}A_0 U^r_0 a(r)g\rangle + \langle f|e^{B(t-r)}A_{-1}U^r_0 a(r)g\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $t<0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f|U^t_0 g\rangle &= \langle f|(U^0_t)^+ g\rangle = \langle f|e^{-B^+t}g\rangle + \int_t^0 dr(\langle a(r)f|e^{B^+(r-t)}A^+_{-1}U^r_0 g\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle a(r)f|e^{B^+(r-t)}A^+_0 U^r_0 a(r)g\rangle + \langle f|e^{B^+(r-t)}A^+_1 U^r_0 a(r)g\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

We want now to calculate the resolvent for $\Im z > 0$

$$\langle f | R(z) g\rangle = -i \int_0^\infty dt e^{izt} \langle f | \Theta(t) U_0^t g\rangle = -i \int_0^\infty dt e^{izt} \langle \Theta(-t) f | U_0^t g\rangle$$

and consider e.g. the term

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \int_0^\infty dt e^{izt} \int_0^t dr \langle a(r)\Theta(-t) f | e^{B(t-r)} A_0 U_0^r a(r) g\rangle \\ & \quad = -i \iint_0^\infty dt\, ds\; e^{iz(t+s)} \langle a(s)\Theta(-t-s) f | e^{Bt} A_0 U_0^s a(s) g\rangle \\ & \quad = -i \iint_0^\infty dt\, ds\; e^{iz(t+s)} \langle a(0) e^{B^+ t}\Theta(-t) f | A_0 \Theta(s) U_0^s a(s) g\rangle \\ & \qquad\qquad = \langle \mathfrak{a} \tilde{R}(z)^+ g | i A_0 S(z) g\rangle = i \langle f | \tilde{R}(z) \mathfrak{a}^+ A_0 S(z) g\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By similar calculations one finishes the proof. □

Corollary 5.3.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{K}$ we may write*

$$R(z) = \tilde{R}(z)(g_0(z) + \mathfrak{a}^+ g_1(z))$$

with

$$g_0(z) = f + \begin{cases} iA_{-1} S(z) f & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ -iA_1^+ S(z) f & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_1(z) = \begin{cases} iA_1 R(z) f + iA_0 S(z) f & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ -iA_{-1}^+ R(z) f - iA_0^+ S(z) f & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 5.3.1. *We have*

$$\tilde{R}(z) + iZ = -i(1 + iz + C(z))\tilde{R}(z) Z = -i(1 + iz + C(z)) Z \tilde{R}(z)$$

with

$$C(z) = \begin{cases} B & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ -B^+ & \text{for } \Im z < 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Use the equation

$$\kappa(z) + i\zeta = -i(1 + iz + C(z))(\zeta \star \kappa) = -i(1 + iz + C(z))(\kappa \star \zeta)$$

□

Lemma 5.3.2. *We have that $f = Z(f_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ f_1)$ is in D iff*

$$f = \tilde{R}(z)(g_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ g_1),$$

with $g_0 \in \Gamma_1$ and $g_1 \in \Gamma_2$.

Proof. Assume at first that $f = \tilde{R}(z)(g_0 + a^+ * g_1)$, using lemma 5.2.1 we see that $f \in \Gamma_1$ and by lemma 5.3.1

$$f = -iZ(g_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ g_1) - i(1 + iz + C(z))Zf$$

and $f \in D$.By interchanging the roles of Z and $\tilde{R}(z)$ one shows that every $f \in D$ is of that form. $\square$

Proposition 5.3.2. *The resolvent*

$$R(z) : \mathcal{K} \to D$$

.

Proof. By proposition 5.1.3

$$\|W(t)f\|^2_{\Gamma_k} \leq P(|t|)\|f\|^2_{\Gamma_k},$$

where $P(|t|)$ is a polynomial in of degree $\leq k$.So e.g. for $\Im z > 0$

$$\|R(z)f\|_{\Gamma_k} \leq \int_0^\infty dt\, \exp\left(-t\Im z\right)\sqrt{P(|t|)}\|f\|_{\Gamma_k}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{K}$, the function $f \in \Gamma_k$ for all k. The functions $a(t)f, t \in \mathbb{R}$ are uniformely bounded in any Γ_k-norm. Hence $R(z)f$ and $S(z)f$ are in Γ_k for all k. The proposition follows from cor.5.3.1. $\square$

Proposition 5.3.3. *Using the functions $g_0(z), g_1(z)$ of corollary 5.3.1 and $C(z)$ of lemma 5.3.1 we have for $f \in \mathcal{K}$*

$$i\hat{\partial}R(z)f = -g_0 - \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ g_1 + (z - iC(z))R(z)f.$$

Proof. The Schwartz derivative

$$\kappa(z)' = -i\varepsilon_0 + (iz + C(z))\kappa(z)$$

and if φ_n is a symmetric δ-sequence, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\varphi_n')\tilde{R}(z) = \Theta(\varphi_n' \star \kappa(z)) &= \Theta(\varphi_n \star \kappa(z)') \\ &= -i\Theta(\varphi_n) + (iz + C(z))\Theta(\varphi_n)\tilde{R}(z)\end{aligned}$$

So

$$-i\Theta(\varphi_n')\tilde{R}(z)(g_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ g_1) = -\Theta(\varphi_n)(g_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ g_1) + (z - iC(z))\Theta(\varphi_n)f$$

converging weakly over D to

$$i\hat{\partial}f = -g_0 - \hat{\mathfrak{a}}g_1 + (z - iC(z))f$$

From there one obtains immediately the result. $\square$

Proposition 5.3.4. *For $f \in \mathcal{K}$we have*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}}R(z)f = S(z)f + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}A_1R(z)f + \frac{1}{2}A_0S(z)f & \text{for } \Im z > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}A_{-1}^+R(z)f + \frac{1}{2}A_0^+S(z)f & \text{for } \Im z < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove at first the case $\Im z > 0$. Following the arguments of the proof of theotem 4.6.1 we have for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}(U^0_{-t}f)(\omega + c) &= (U^0_{-t}a(t_c)f)(\omega) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}\{t_c \in [-t, 0]\}((U^0_{t_c}A_1U^{t_c}_{-t}f)(\omega) + (U^0_{t_c}A_0U^{t_c}_{-t}a(t_c)f)(\omega))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(R(z)f)(\omega + c) \\ &= -i\int_0^\infty dte^{izt}(\Theta(t)U^t_0f)(\omega + c) = -i\int_0^\infty dte^{izt}(U^0_{-t}\Theta(t)f)(\omega + c) \\ &\qquad = -i\int_0^\infty dt(U^0_{-t}\Theta(t)a(t_c + t)f)(\omega) - i\mathbf{1}\{t_c < 0\}U^0_{t_c} \\ &\left(A_1\int_{-t_c}^\infty dte^{izt}(U^{t_c}_{-t}\Theta(t)f)(\omega) + A_0\int_{-t_c}^\infty dte^{izt}(U^{t_c}_{-t}\Theta(t)a(t_c + t)f)(\omega)\right).\end{aligned}$$

One concludes

$$\begin{aligned}(R(z)f)(0+, t_1, \cdots, t_n) =&(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\ (R(z)f)(0-, t_1, \cdots, t_n) =&(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) + A_1(R(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\ &+ A_0(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n)\end{aligned}$$

Similar for $\Im z < 0$

$$\begin{aligned}(R(z)f)(0+, t_1, \cdots, t_n) =&(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) + A^+_{-1}(R(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\ &+ A^+_0(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\ (R(z)f)(0-, t_1, \cdots, t_n) =&(S(z)f)(t_1, \cdots, t_n)\end{aligned}$$

□

5.4. **Characterization of the Hamiltonian.** By Stone's theorem there exists for the unitary strongly continuous one parameter group a unique spectral family $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$W(t) = \int e^{-it\lambda}dE_\lambda$$

The infinitesimal generator of $W(t)$ is $-iH$ with

$$H = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$$

and H is the Hamiltonian of $W(t)$. Define the norm

$$\|f\|_H^2 = \int \lambda^2 \langle f|dE_\lambda f\rangle.$$

The domain D_H of H is the set all those $f \in \Gamma$, for which $\|f\|_H < \infty$ and H is bounded with repect to that norm. The resolvent

$$R(z) = \int 1/(z-\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

defines a bounded operator from Γ onto D_H. The equation

$$(z-H)R(z) = 1$$

shows that the mapping $R(z)$ is bijective. As $\mathcal{K}$ is dense in Γ we have that $R(z)\mathcal{K}$ is dense in D_H with respect to the D_H-norm.We make at first an $Ansatz \hat{H}$ for H

Definition 5.4.1. *Assume four operators $M_0, M_{\pm 1}, G \in B(\mathfrak{k})$ such that*

$$M_0^+ = M_0 \qquad M_1^+ = M_{-1} \qquad G^+ = G,$$

then define by

$$\hat{H} = i\hat{\partial} + M_1\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ + M_0\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + M_{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + G.$$

an application from D into the singular measures on $\mathfrak{R}_0$. We identify again measures with densities with respect to Lebesgue measure with their densities.

By proposition 5.3.2 we have that $R(z)f \in D$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}$. The foolowing lemma is a direct consequence of prop.5.2.3 and the assumptions about the coefficients.

Lemma 5.4.1. *The sesquilinear form*

$$\begin{aligned} f, g \in D \mapsto &\langle f|\hat{H}g\rangle \\ &= \langle f|(i\hat{\partial} + G)g\rangle + \langle\hat{\mathfrak{a}}f|M_1 g\rangle + \langle\hat{\mathfrak{a}}f|\hat{\mathfrak{a}}M_0 g\rangle + \langle f|\hat{\mathfrak{a}}M_{-1}g\rangle \end{aligned}$$

exists and is symmetric.

Proposition 5.4.1. *Assume $f = Z(f_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ f_1) \in D$. Then $\hat{H}f$ is a absolute continuous mesure with density in Γ iff*

$$-if_1 + M_1 f + M_0\hat{\mathfrak{a}}f = 0$$

Proof. An immediate consequence of the formula

$$\hat{H}f = -i(f_0 + \hat{a}^+ f_1) + M_1\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+ f + M_0\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+\hat{\mathfrak{a}}f + M_{-1}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}f + Gf.$$

□

Definition 5.4.2. *Define by D_0 the subspace of those functions $\in D$, which obey the condition of the last lemma and denote by H_0 the restriction of $\hat{H}$ to D_0f*

Corollary 5.4.1. *As $\hat{H}$ is symmetric on D ,it is symmetric in D_0 too.*

The conditions for the unitarity of the operators $\mathcal{O}(u_s^t)(A_i, B)$)were (thm.4.5.1) that the operators $A_i, i = 1, 0, -1; B$ fulfill the following conditions: There exist a unitary operator Υ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \Upsilon - 1 \\ A_1 &= -\Upsilon A_{-1}^{+} \\ B + B^{+} &= -A_1^{+} A_1 = -A_{-1} A_{-1}^{+}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4.1. *The operator $\hat{H}$ fulfills the equation*

$$\hat{H}R(z)f = -f + zR(z)f \tag{$*$}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{K}$ iff

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} M_1 && (**) \\ A_0 &= \frac{M_0}{i - M_0/2} \\ A_{-1} &= M_{-1} \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} \\ B &= -iG - \tfrac{i}{2} M_{-1} \frac{1}{i - M_0/2} M_1 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$\Upsilon = \frac{i + M_0/2}{i - M_0/2}$$

If equaton $(**)$ is fulfilled, then $R(z)$ maps $\mathcal{K}$ into D_0. The domain D_H of the Hamiltonian H of $W(t)$ contains D_0 and the restriction of H to D_0 coincides with the restriction H_0 of $\hat{H}$ to D_0 and D_0 is dense in Γ and H is the closure of H_0.

Proof. Assume at first $\Im z > 0$. By prop.5.3.3 and 5.3.4

$$\begin{aligned} i\hat{\partial}Rf &= -f - iA_1SF - \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^{+}(iA_1Rf + iA_0Sf) + (z - iB)Rf \\ \hat{\mathfrak{a}}Rf &= Sf + \tfrac{1}{2}A_1Rf + \tfrac{1}{2}A_0Sf \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\hat{H}Rf = -f + zRf + C_1\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^{+}Rf + C_2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^{+}Sf + C_3Sf + C_4Rf$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= -iA_1 + M_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}M_0A_1 \\
C_2 &= -iA_0 + M_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}M_0A_0 \\
C_3 &= -iA_{-1} + M_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}M_{-1}A_0 \\
C_4 &= -iB + G + \tfrac{1}{2}M_{-1}A_1
\end{aligned}$$

The equations $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = 0$ are equivalent to $(**)$.

For $\Im z < 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\hat{\partial}R = -(f - iA_1^+Sf) + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+(iA_{-1}Rf + A_0^+Sf) + (z + iB^+)Rf \\
&\hat{\mathfrak{a}}Rf = Sf + \tfrac{1}{2}A_{-1}^+Rf + \tfrac{1}{2}A_0^+Sf
\end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\hat{H}Rf = -f + zRf + C_1'\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+Rf + C_2'\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^+Sf + C_3'Sf + C_4'Rf$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1' &= iA_{-1}^+ + M_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}M_0A_{-1}^+ \\
C_2' &= iA_0^+ + M_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}M_0A_0^+ \\
C_3' &= iA_1^+ + M_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}M_{-1}A_0^+ \\
C_4' &= iB^+ + G + \tfrac{1}{2}M_{-1}A_{-1}^+
\end{aligned}$$

Equations $C_1' = C_2' = C_3' = C_4' = 0$ are equvalent to $(**)$ as well, as it should be.

Formula $(*)$ shows, that $R(z)$ maps $\mathcal{K}$ into D_0.

As H_0 coincides on $R(z)\mathcal{K}$ with H and $R(z)\mathcal{K}$ is dense in D_H w.r.t. the D_H-norm , it has a unique extension to D_H coinciding with H, and H is the closure of H_0. As $R(z)\mathcal{K}$ is contained in D_0, the space D_0 is dense in Γ.

Consider the matrix elements for $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f \in D_0$

$$\langle \xi | R(z)H_0 f\rangle = \langle R(\overline{z})\xi | H_0 f\rangle.$$

Now $R(\overline{z})\xi$ is in D_0 and using the symmetry of H_0 the last expression equals

$$\langle H_0 R(\overline{z})\xi | f\rangle = \langle -\xi + \overline{z}R(\overline{z})\xi | f\rangle.$$

As $\mathcal{K}$ is dense in Γ we obtain

$$R(z)H_0 f = -f + zR(z)$$

and with $H_0 f = g$

$$\int \frac{1}{z-\lambda} dE_\lambda g = \int \frac{\lambda}{z-\lambda} dE_\lambda f$$

and

$$\|R(z)g\|^2 = \int \frac{1}{|z-\lambda|^2}\langle g|dE_\lambda g\rangle = \int \frac{\lambda^2}{|z-\lambda|^2}\langle f|dE_\lambda f\rangle.$$

Put $z = i/\varepsilon$ and multiply by $1/\varepsilon^2$ and obtain

$$\int \frac{1/\varepsilon^2}{1/\varepsilon^2+\lambda^2}\langle g|dE_\lambda g\rangle = \int \frac{\lambda^2/\varepsilon^2}{1/\varepsilon^2+\lambda^2}\langle f|dE_\lambda f\rangle.$$

Go $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and get

$$\|g\|^2 = \int \langle g|dE_\lambda g\rangle = \int \lambda^2\langle f|dE_\lambda f\rangle = \|f\|_H^2 < \infty.$$

So $f \in D_H$ and

$$R(z)H_0 f = R(z)Hf$$

and

$$H_0 f = Hf.$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

References

[1] L.Accardi:Noise and dissipation in quantum theory.Reviews in Math.Physics 2(1990)127-176.

[2] Accardi L., Lu Y.G., Obata N.: Towards a non–linear extension of stochastic calculus, in: Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto, RIMS Kokyuroku 957, N. Obata (ed.) (1996) 1–15

[3] L.Accardi,Y.-G. Lu, I.V.Volovich: White noise approach to classical and quantum stochastic calculus.Preprint 375, Centro Vito Volterra, Universita Roma 2, (1999).

[4] L.Accardi,Y.G.Lu,I.V.Volovich: Quantum theory and its stochastic limit. Springer 2002.

[5] W.Ayed:White noise approach to quantum stochastic calulus.Tesis.Tunis 2005

[6] S. Attal : Problemes d'unicite dans les representations d'operateurs sur l'espace de Fock. Seminaire de Probabilites XXVI: LNM 1526, p.617-632 (1992)

[7] S.Attal: Non-commutative chaotic expansions of Hilbert-Schmidt oprerators on Fockspace. C.M.P. ca 1995

[8] N.Bourbaki:Integration chap.1-4,Paris 1965

[9] N.Bourbaki:Integration chap.5,Paris 1965

[10] A.M.Chebotarev,Quantum stochastic differential equation is unitary equivalent to a symmetric boundary problem in Fock space. Inf.Dim.Anal.Quantum Prob. 1(1998),175-199.

[11] F. Fagnola, "On quantum stochastic differential equations with unbounded coefficients", Prob. Theory and Related Fields, 1990, **86**, 501–516.

[12] I.Gelfand and N.Vilenkin,*Generalized functions vol.1.*Academic Press, New York 1964

[13] J.Gough.Causal structures of quantum stochastic integrators.Theoret. and Math. Physics 111(1997),563-575.

[14] J. Gough, "Noncommutative Ito and Stratonovich noise and stochastic evolution", Theor. and Math. Phys., 1997, **113**, N2, 276–284.

[15] M.Gregoratti,*The hamiltonian associated to some quantum stochastic differential equations.*Thesis. Milano 2000.

[16] M.Gregoratti:The Hamiltonian operator associated with some quantum stochastic evolutions. Comm.Math.Physics 222(2001),no.1,181-200.

[17] J.M. Lindsay:Quantum and non-causal stochastic calculus. Prob.Theory Relat.Fields 97,p.65-80 (1993).

[18] J.M.Lindsay, H.Maassen: An integral kernel approach to noise. LNM 1303, p.192-208 (1988)

[19] H. Maassen: Quantum Markov processes on Fock space described by integral kernels. LNM 1136,p.361-374 (1985)

[20] P.A.Meyer *Quantum Probability for Probabilists* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1538**(Springer, Berlin,Heidelberg 1993).

[21] N.Obata:White noise calculus and Fock space.Springer LNM 1577(1994)

[22] N.Obata and U.C.Ji:Quantum Stochastic Gradients.2008. To appear.

[23] K.R.Parthasarathy: *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*(Birkhaeuser, Basel,Boston,Berlin 1992).

[24] L.Schwartz:*Theorie des distributions I*(Herrmann,Paris 1951)

[25] W.von Waldenfels: Continous Maassen Kernels and the Inverse Oscillator. Seminaire des Probabilites XXX, Springer LNM 1626(1996)

[26] W.von Waldenfels:Continuous kernel processes in quantum probability.Quantum Probability Communications.Vol.XII,2003,World Scientific,p.237-260.

[27] W. von Waldenfels:Description of the damped oscillator by a singular Friedrichs kernel.In Quantum prob.and rel.fields 2003.

[28] W.von Waldenfels:The Hamiltonian of a simple pure number process.Quantum Probability and Infinite iimensional Analysis.XVIII. World Scientific 2005.

[29] W.von Waldenfels. Symmetric differentiation and Hamiltonian of a quantum stochastic process. Infinite dimensional analysis quantun probability ... .2005,p.73-116.

[30] W.von Waldenfels.Creation and annihilation operators on locally compact spaces. Mathematical analysis of random phenomena.World Scientific. Proceedings Hammamet 2005, p.191-212.

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany, e-mail Wilhelm.Waldenfels@T-Online.de